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| Glossary |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| BAME | Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic |
| EHRC | Equality and Human Rights Commission - the body responsible for |
| the promotion and enforcement of equality and non-discrimination |  |
| laws in England, Scotland and Wales, including the equal pay |  |
| provisions of the Equality Act 2010 |  |$\quad$| The 'equality of terms' provisions in the Equality Act 2010 entitle a |
| :--- |
| woman doing equal work with a man in the same employment to |
| equality in pay and other terms and conditions. |


| Mean | The arithmetic average of a set of values. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Median | The mid-point in a set of values used to compare sets of data where <br> extreme values (at higher or lower ends of the range) have a <br> disproportionate effect on the mean. <br> The full time equivalent pay for the pay point within the relevant pay <br> scale. Full time equivalent pay is used to enable comparisons to be <br> made on an equal basis. |
| Base Pay | This includes other elements pay: London allowance, Head of <br> Department allowance, market supplements, honoraria and pay <br> protection, where any of these is in payment. It excludes overtime. |

## 1 Executive Summary

1.1 The mean overall gender pay gap for base pay has increased from $24.5 \%$ in 2012 to $25.8 \%$ in 2014. The overall pay gap figure can more accurately be described as an 'equal opportunity gap'. It does not compare men and women doing equal work - which is the basis for a pay audit. This is because men and women are spread unevenly throughout the jobs and grades within the institution. The overall pay gap generally favours men because they occupy more of the higher paid jobs and men are more heavily represented at senior levels.
1.2 Similarly, when considered by Staff Group, which include all grades represented by each Group, there is a gender pay gap across the board in favour of men. The exception is Administrative Group 1-5, where $88 \%$ of the population is female.
1.3 The grade level analysis, which compares jobs of equal value, identifies no significant gender pay gaps. The Equality and Human Rights Commission (EHRC) recommends that gaps of 3\% - $5 \%$ should be monitored. In the case of Professor Band 1, Reader and Lecturer, the median pay for each of these grades shows no pay gap.

| Grade | Mean |
| :--- | ---: |
| Prof Band1 | $4.2 \%$ |
| Prof Band2 | $0.8 \%$ |
| Prof Band3 | $0.3 \%$ |
| Prof Band4 | $-0.1 \%$ |
| Prof Band5 | - |
| ACAD - Reader | $3.7 \%$ |
| ACAD - Senior Lecturer | $1.2 \%$ |
| ACAD - Lecturer | $3.5 \%$ |
| RHUL 9 | $1.1 \%$ |
| RHUL 8 | $2.3 \%$ |
| RHUL 7 | $0.9 \%$ |
| RHUL 6 | $0.3 \%$ |
| RHUL 5 | $1.7 \%$ |
| RHUL 4 | $1.9 \%$ |
| RHUL 3 | $0.9 \%$ |
| RHUL 2 | $-1.9 \%$ |
| RHUL 1 | $0.0 \%$ |

1.4 There are no significant gender pay gaps for mean base pay. Within the Academic Group differences between $3 \%$ and $5 \%$ for Reader and Lecturer might be explored further to check that length of time in grade is the main driver; however, it is not a major cause for concern given that overall for the Academic Group the mean length of time in grade for females was 3.4 years compared with 4.1 years for males.
1.5 The mean gender pay gap of $4.2 \%$ for base pay of Band 1 Professors can be explained by one extreme value (male).
1.6 There is a gender pay gap of $7.8 \%$ in mean base pay for Grade 6 Researchers. Given the even gender distribution of a group of 19 , we recommend further analysis to assess whether the difference can be explained by length of time in grade (not available).
1.7 There is no significant gender pay gap in any RHUL grade and no gender pay gap exceeds $3 \%$. Within RHUL 10, Grades 10A and 10B have a gender gap on base pay of greater than $3 \%$ and at Grade 10 C females have a pay lead of $5.3 \%$ over the male counterparts. These roles are individually market-priced. The position in relation to total pay follows the same pattern.
1.8 Overall, there has been a slight increase in BAME representation since 2012 from $13.2 \%$ to $14.1 \%$ of the workforce. Over the whole workforce there is an equality of opportunity gap reflected in a $10.1 \%$ difference in pay, reflecting the greater proportions of BAME staff in Grades 1-9 than at higher levels. There are no significant differences in mean base or total pay at a grade level.
1.9 The number of employees who have reported a disability is small. Consequently, even at a grade level there are too few records to report mean or median pay. Although there are pay gaps in excess of 5\% these are seemingly random, with variations ranging from a pay lead of $7 \%$ at RHUL 7 and pay gap of $6 \%$ at RHUL 8. Given the relatively small number identified as disabled, we would recommend that any opportunity is taken to encourage reporting of this and of other protected characteristics to enable meaningful analysis to be carried out and, potentially, action to be taken.
1.10 For staff working Part-Time at Grade levels where there is sufficient representation to be meaningful, there are no significant mean gender pay gaps. However, the mean base pay lead of $4.7 \%$ for females at RHUL 8 requires further investigation; it would appear that the difference can be attributed to length of time in grade.
1.11 Fixed Term employees have a pay lead on base pay at all grades where there are sufficient employees in the category to report fully. There is a significant pay gap at RHUL 8 between Fixed Term and Permanent employees that warrants further investigation.
1.12 There are no issues requiring further investigation in relation to hourly-paid Visiting Teachers and gender; the gender pay gap/pay lead does not exceed $3 \%$ at any grade. In relation to ethnic group, there is a significant pay gap of $10.6 \%$ in favour of white staff in Grade 9. This, however, is not reflected in the median difference and may therefore be reflected in length of time in the grade. Further investigation is required.
1.13 The proportion of males in receipt of overtime exceeds their proportion within the workforce at every grade except for RHUL 6. In terms of the payments received, the mean value of payments favours men at grades RHUL 1-5 and RHUL 9, and women at RHUL 6-8. We would recommend reviewing which roles qualify for overtime as a matter of good practice.
1.14 The analysis identified no significant differences with the current payment of Market Supplements and Performance Related Pay, although we would highlight the under-representation of minority ethnic and people with identified disabilities in these groups. We would recommend as a matter of good practice continuing monitoring to ensure the relevant policies continue to be applied robustly and consistently.
1.15 Female employees were more likely to receive a performance award. However, the mean value of an award received by males was always higher. Further investigation is required to understand the reasons for this.

## 2 Introduction

2.1 This report was commissioned by Royal Holloway University of London to establish whether or not there is evidence of gender pay differences amongst the Commission's employees, or of other pay inequities arising because of age, ethnicity, disability or work pattern.
2.2 The Gender Equality Duty, introduced from 6 April 2007, requires all public sector organisations to develop and publish a policy on developing and maintaining equal pay between female and male employees (as well as other equality groups, including full and part time staff, those with disabilities and ethnic minority staff). The Equality Act 2010 entitles a woman doing equal work with a man in the same employment to equality in pay and other terms and conditions.
2.3 The main focus of an equal pay review is to identify potential systemic inequalities in pay between groups (rather than pay differences between individuals). If significant pay gaps between the average basic pay of protected groups doing equal work are identified, further analysis is required to find out which aspects of the pay system are contributing to the pay gaps and why, to establish whether there is a genuine reason, or reasons, for the difference in basic pay that has nothing to do with the gender or ethnicity of the jobholder.
2.4 The basic components of an equal pay audit are:

- Comparing the pay of women and men doing equal work. Here, the University employs its workforce within a grading structure established through job evaluation and this provides the basis for analysis.
- Identifying any equal pay gaps, including by differences between part-time and full-time workers' pay.
- Eliminating those pay gaps that cannot satisfactorily be explained on grounds other than gender.
2.5 The Equality Act and the statutory code of practice published by the Equality and Human Rights Commission recommends that the most effective way of establishing whether a public authority's pay policies and pay systems are discriminatory is to undertake an equal pay audit. This should seek to establish whether there are significant differences in pay between equality groups and, if so, the extent to which these can be objectively justified and is due to factors other than membership of a particular equality group.
2.6 Any such differences, or "pay gaps", of more than $5 \%$ may be regarded as significant enough to warrant further investigation, as may a pattern of lesser differences (i.e. $3 \%$ or more) in favour of any particular group.
2.7 This audit follows the JNCHES Guidance on Equal Pay Reviews (2007). It has been carried out using data extracted by Royal Holloway from its systems in November 2014. As far as possible, this data has been presented in a format that allows for ease of comparison with previous surveys, in particular the 2012 Equal Pay Audit. However, where categories are no longer used for other purposes, these have been removed. For this reason, the Staff Group categories "Manual and Ancillary", "Other" and "Technical" will not be found in this report.
2.8 The focus of this equal pay audit has been on: gender, ethnicity, disability status, contract status and hours of work. We have also considered the impact of age and length of time in grade.
2.9 As the data relating to date of appointment held by Royal Holloway is unreliable, analysis by length of service overall and of new starters were excluded from this audit.
2.10 This report contains:
- A description of the methodology used to carry out the audit (Annex A);
- Analysis of pay differences between equality groups;
- Analysis of the workforce to identify possible causes of any pay differences.
2.11 In terms of scope, this report includes permanent and fixed term employees, but excludes casual staff.
2.12 Royal Holloway operates a grading structure comprising ten grades, plus five Professorial grades. Grade 10 is further sub-divided into four sub-grades: Grades 10A, 10B and 10C and RHUL10. The breakdown within Grade 10 is represented within the staffing profile, but the numbers are insufficient to be presented separately in the pay analysis.
2.13 Additionally, there is a grade (AR-RES-NS) used to denote externally funded Research Fellows, the pay levels for which are externally determined. The roles in this grade are included in the staff profile, but excluded from the pay analysis. In addition, one researcher graded within the normal structure, but paid on a spot rate outside the pay band, has been excluded from the analysis to avoid skewing the overall data for that grade. This case has been flagged to review the justification for the rate of pay.
2.14 The role of Principal has not been included in this analysis.


## 3 Pay Gaps

## Gender

3.1 The mean overall gender pay gap on basic pay has increased since 2012 from $24.5 \%$ to $25.5 \%$. There are significant gender pay gaps within all Staff Groups except Grades $1-5$. This reflects the uneven distribution of men and women within each Staff Group, with generally more men in the higher grades and more women in the lower grades. However, the median pay gap, which compares the pay level at the mid point in the group, has reduced from $31.8 \%$ to $29.8 \%$. As this is less affected by the extremes, it suggests a more general narrowing of the pay gap when comparing work of equal value.
3.2 However, the key comparison is between staff within the same grade, as determined through the institution's agreed analytical job evaluation scheme, HERA. This is well illustrated by looking at the academic grades where the there is a mean difference of $13.8 \%$, but at the median the difference is lower at $8.5 \%$. The main reason for the scale of the mean difference is that just $8 \%$ and $4 \%$ women are at the highest paid levels of Professor and Reader compared with $24 \%$ and $7 \%$ respectively of the male academic population.
3.3 The gender pay gap in Table 1 is more properly described as an equal opportunity gap as it represents pay differences across different grades. The distribution of male and female incumbents across the Staff Groups is set out at Table 2.

Table 1: Staff Group

|  | Mean |  |  |  | Median |  |  |  | Mean Female as \% of male | Median Female as \% of male |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | Male | Difference | Pay Gap | Female | Male | Difference | Pay Gap |  |  |
| All | £35,635 | £47,823 | £12,187 | 25.5\% | £32,277 | £45,954 | £13,677 | 29.8\% | 74.5\% | 70.2\% |
| ACAD | £52,430 | £60,818 | £8,388 | 13.8\% | £50,200 | £54,841 | £4,641 | 8.5\% | 86.2\% | 91.5\% |
| AD1-5 | £20,320 | £20,785 | $£ 465$ | 2.2\% | £20,490 | £20,781 | £291 | 1.4\% | 97.8\% | 98.6\% |
| AD6-10 | £37,926 | £42,358 | £4,433 | 10.5\% | £35,256 | £37,394 | £2,138 | 5.7\% | 89.5\% | 94.3\% |
| RES | £35,307 | £37,332 | £2,025 | 5.4\% | £33,242 | £35,256 | £2,014 | 5.7\% | 94.6\% | 94.3\% |

Table 2: Staff Group by gender cross tabulation

\left.| Staff Group by gender |  |  | Count |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Female | Male |$\right)$

## Academic Group

3.4 There were significant gender pay gaps looking at both mean and median base pay within the Academic Group. However, this contains various grades, within which women are more heavily represented at lower grades and, therefore, pay levels (see Table 8).
3.5 Table 3 breaks out the Academic Group into its component parts. Pay gaps that exceed $5 \%$ require further explanation. Within the Academic Group, Professors and "Other" both fall outside this parameter. However, both are comprised of various grades and do not compare roles of equal value.

Table 3: Academic Group - Gender Pay Gap (Base Pay)

|  | Mean |  |  |  | Median |  |  |  | Mean female as \% of male | Median female as \% of male |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | Male | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Diff- } \\ \text { erence } \end{array}$ | Pay Gap | Female | Male | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Diff- } \\ \text { erence } \end{array}$ | Pay Gap |  |  |
| ACAD | £52,430 | £60,818 | £8,388 | 13.8\% | £50,200 | £54,841 | £4,641 | 8.5\% | 86.2\% | 91.5\% |
| ACAD Prof | $£ 72,402$ | £79,488 | $£ 7,087$ | 8.9\% | £71,715 | £76,235 | $£ 4,520$ | 5.9\% | 91.1\% | 94.1\% |
| ACAD - <br> Reader | £52,319 | £54,324 | £2,005 | 3.7\% | £54,841 | £54,841 | £0 | 0.0\% | 96.3\% | 100.0\% |
| ACAD Senior Lecturer | £52,510 | £53,174 | £664 | 1.2\% | £54,841 | £54,841 | £0 | 0.0\% | 98.8\% | 100.0\% |
| ACAD Lecturer | £42,902 | £44,478 | £1,576 | 3.5\% | £44,640 | £45,954 | £1,315 | 2.9\% | 96.5\% | 97.1\% |
| ACAD Other | £37,259 | £39,912 | £2,653 | 6.6\% | £35,256 | £38,511 | £3,255 | 8.5\% | 93.4\% | 91.5\% |

3.6 Table 4 shows that at the level of jobs rated as equivalent, that is Reader, Senior Lecturer and Lecturer, the mean pay gap in base pay for each level is less than $5 \%$. However, for Reader and Lecturer the gaps fall within the $3 \%-5 \%$ range, at which level the ECHR suggests monitoring is required. There is no difference in median pay for Reader or Senior Lecturer and the pay gap for Lecturer is less than $3 \%$. Within the academic group, males have been at the current grade for longer than females at the same
level and this may provide some of the explanation for the pay gap. At RHUL 8, mean time in grade for female incumbents was 2.6 years and for males 3.2 years, whilst at RHUL 9 it was 4.0 and 4.6 years respectively. Further investigation is required to confirm that length of time in grade provides a nongender related justification for the difference. In the absence of that explanation, continuing monitoring would be advised.
3.7 Within the "Other" group, RHUL grades 7, 8 and 9 are represented. Within the Professor group there are five different pay levels. As with the Academic group overall, the difference in base pay at the Professor level ( $8.9 \%$ pay gap) can be largely attributed to the different levels within the Professor group.

Table 4: Gender by Professorial band

|  | Prof Band1 | Prof Band2 | Prof Band3 | Prof Band4 | Prof Band5 | Grade Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 8 | 23 | 6 | 12 | 0 | 49 |
| \% within Staff Group | 16.3\% | 46.9\% | 12.2\% | 24.5\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% |
| \% within gender | 38.1\% | 35.4\% | 23.1\% | 18.8\% | 0.0\% | 25.7\% |
| \% of grade | 4.2\% | 12.0\% | 3.1\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 25.7\% |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 13 | 42 | 20 | 52 | 15 | 142 |
| \% within Staff Group | 9.2\% | 29.6\% | 14.1\% | 36.6\% | 10.6\% | 100.0\% |
| \% within gender | 61.9\% | 64.6\% | 76.9\% | 81.3\% | 100.0\% | 74.3\% |
| \% of grade | 6.8\% | 22.0\% | 10.5\% | 27.2\% | 7.9\% | 74.3\% |
| Band Total | 21 | 65 | 26 | 64 | 15 | 191 |

3.8 When the pay gaps are considered individually, there is no significant gap in mean pay at any Professorial grade. However, one pay gap may warrant further investigation. There is a gap of $4.2 \%$ in mean pay at Band 1. Given that the median shows no pay gap, it is likely that the mean has been influenced by one extreme value. The length of time in post for the grade overall does not show significant variation being 2.5 and 2.8 years for men and women respectively (Table 82).

Table 5: Professors - Gender Pay Gap (Base Pay)

|  | Mean |  |  |  | Median |  |  |  | Mean female as \% of male | Median female as \% of male |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | Male | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Diff- } \\ \text { erence } \end{array}$ | Pay Gap | Female | Male | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Diff- } \\ \text { erence } \end{array}$ | Pay Gap |  |  |
| All Profs | £72,402 | £79,488 | £7,087 | 8.9\% | £71,715 | £76,235 | £4,520 | 5.9\% | 91.1\% | 94.1\% |
| Prof Band1 | £61,400 | £64,088 | £2,688 | 4.2\% | £61,792 | £61,792 | £0 | 0.0\% | 95.8\% | 100.0\% |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Prof } \\ \text { Band2 } \end{array}$ | £69,460 | £70,036 | $£ 576$ | 0.8\% | £69,888 | £71,715 | £1,828 | 2.5\% | 99.2\% | 97.5\% |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Prof } \\ \text { Band3 } \end{array}$ | £75,720 | £75,952 | £232 | 0.3\% | £76,235 | £76,235 | £0 | 0.0\% | 99.7\% | 100.0\% |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Prof } \\ \text { Band4 } \end{array}$ | £86,515 | £86,432 | -£83 | -0.1\% | £86,863 | £86,863 | £0 | 0.0\% | 100.1\% | 100.0\% |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Prof } \\ \text { Band5 } \end{array}$ |  | £99,946 | £99,946 | - |  | £97,310 | £97,310 | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |

3.9 As seen in Table 4 the majority of female Professors is in Band 2, whereas the majority of male Professors is in Band 4. Band 5, the highest base pay band, is only populated by males.
3.10 Looking at total pay (Table 6), the position is similar to that for base pay, with a substantial apparent pay gap at the Professorial grades. However, it is only at Professor Band 2 that there is cause for concern. Here mean total pay gap exceeds $5 \%$ and is, therefore, considered significant. There is no pay gap at the median. The difference can be explained by the absence of any female Professors in Band 2 working in a Department for which a market supplement is in payment to all male Professors at that level.
3.11 The mean pay gap for total pay at Professor Band 1 decreases to less than $3 \%$ as a result of the payment of one market supplement to a female Band 1 Professor (Table 7 refers).

Table 6: Academic Group - Gender Pay Gap (Total Pay)

|  | Mean |  |  |  |  |  |  | Median | Mean female as \% of male | Median female as \% of male |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | Male | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Diff- } \\ & \text { erence } \end{aligned}$ | Pay Gap | Female | Male | Difference | Pay Gap |  |  |
| ACAD | £55,368 | £64,751 | £9,383 | 14.5\% | £52,334 | £56,975 | £4,641 | 8.1\% | 85.5\% | 91.9\% |
| ACAD Prof | £76,726 | £85,568 | £8,843 | 10.3\% | £73,849 | £88,997 | £15,148 | 17.0\% | 89.7\% | 83.0\% |
| ACAD Reader | £54,794 | £56,945 | £2,151 | 3.8\% | £56,975 | £56,975 | £0 | 0.0\% | 96.2\% | 100.0\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ACAD - } \\ \text { Senior } \\ \text { Lecturer } \end{gathered}$ | £55,413 | £56,126 | £713 | 1.3\% | £56,975 | £56,975 | £0 | 0.0\% | 98.7\% | 100.0\% |
| ACAD Lecturer | £45,279 | £46,786 | £1,507 | 3.2\% | £46,773 | £48,088 | £1,315 | 2.7\% | 96.8\% | 97.3\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ACAD - } \\ & \text { Other } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | £39,393 | £42,046 | £2,653 | 6.3\% | £37,390 | £40,645 | £3,255 | 8.0\% | 93.7\% | 92.0\% |

Table 7: Professors - Gender Pay Gap (Total Pay)

|  | Mean |  |  |  |  |  |  | Median | Mean female as \% of male | Median female as \% of male |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | Male | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Diff- } \\ \text { erence } \end{array}$ | Pay Gap | Female | Male | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Diff- } \\ \text { erence } \end{array}$ | Pay Gap |  |  |
| ACAD Prof | £76,726 | £85,568 | £8,843 | 10.3\% | £73,849 | £88,997 | £15,148 | 17.0\% | 89.7\% | 83.0\% |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Prof } \\ \text { Band11 } \end{array}$ | £66,034 | £66,222 | £188 | 0.3\% | £63,926 | £63,926 | £0 | 0.0\% | 99.7\% | 100.0\% |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Prof } \\ \text { Band2 } \end{array}$ | £74,654 | £76,551 | £1,898 | 2.5\% | £73,849 | £73,849 | £0 | 0.0\% | 97.5\% | 100.0\% |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Prof } \\ \text { Band3 } \end{array}$ | £77,854 | £82,201 | £4,347 | 5.3\% | £78,369 | £78,369 | £0 | 0.0\% | 94.7\% | 100.0\% |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Prof } \\ \text { Band4 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | £90,315 | £93,258 | £2,942 | 3.2\% | £88,997 | £88,997 | £0 | 0.0\% | 96.8\% | 100.0\% |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Prof } \\ \text { Band5 } \end{array}$ |  | £105,413 | £105,413 | 100.0\% |  | £99,444 | £99,444 | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |

### 3.12 Table 8 sets out the gender distribution within the Academic Group.

Table 8: Academic Group by gender cross tabulation (Academic breakdown)

| Staff Group by gender (Academic breakdown) | Female | Male | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACAD - Professor | 49 | 142 | 191 |
| \% within Staff Group | 25.7\% | 74.3\% | 100.0\% |
| \% within gender | 22.2\% | 38.1\% | 32.2\% |
| \% of total | 8.2\% | 23.9\% | 32.2\% |
| ACAD - Reader | 22 | 40 | 62 |
| \% within Staff Group | 35.5\% | 64.5\% | 100.0\% |
| \% within gender | 10.0\% | 10.7\% | 10.4\% |
| \% of total | 3.7\% | 6.7\% | 10.4\% |
| ACAD - Senior Lecturer | 63 | 97 | 160 |
| \% within Staff Group | 39.4\% | 60.6\% | 100.0\% |
| \% within gender | 28.5\% | 26.0\% | 26.9\% |
| \% of total | 10.6\% | 16.3\% | 26.9\% |
| ACAD - Lecturer | 60 | 69 | 129 |
| \% within Staff Group | 46.5\% | 53.5\% | 100.0\% |
| \% within gender | 27.1\% | 18.5\% | 21.7\% |
| \% of total | 10.1\% | 11.6\% | 21.7\% |
| ACAD - Other | 27 | 25 | 52 |
| \% within Staff Group | 51.9\% | 48.1\% | 100.0\% |
| \% within gender | 12.2\% | 6.7\% | 8.8\% |
| \% of total | 4.5\% | 4.2\% | 8.8\% |

## Research

3.13 In terms of mean base pay for the Research Staff Group, the only grade for which there appears to be an issue is RHUL 6 (see Table 9). In the absence of reliable information about date to grade it has only been possible to compare mean pay between Departments where both male and female employees are represented. There appears to be no consistent pattern and we would recommend that further review be carried out on a case by case basis as the total population of the group is small and evenly distributed (10 female; 9 male).

Table 9: Research Base Pay by Gender

|  | Mean |  |  |  | Median |  |  |  | Mean <br> Female as \% of male | Median Female as \% of male |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | Male | Difference | Pay Gap | Female | Male | Difference | Pay Gap |  |  |
| All Research | £35,307 | £37,332 | £2,025 | 5.4\% | £33,242 | £35,256 | £2,014 | 5.7\% | 94.6\% | 94.3\% |
| RHUL 6 | £26,787 | £29,049 | £2,263 | 7.8\% | £26,274 | £30,434 | £4,160 | 13.7\% | 92.2\% | 86.3\% |
| RHUL 7 | £34,227 | £34,340 | $£ 113$ | 0.3\% | £33,242 | £33,242 | £0 | 0.0\% | 99.7\% | 100.0\% |
| RHUL 8 | £41,723 | £42,818 | £1,094 | 2.6\% | £39,685 | £39,685 | £0 | 0.0\% | 97.4\% | 100.0\% |
| RHUL 9 |  | - | - | 100.0\% |  | - | - | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |

3.14 The picture is the same for this group when looking at total pay (Table 10), as the only additional payment is London allowance.

Table 10: Research Total Pay by Gender

|  | Mean |  |  |  | Median |  |  |  | Mean Female as \% of male | Median Female as \% of male |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | Male | Difference | Pay Gap | Female | Male | Difference | Pay Gap |  |  |
| All <br> Research | £37,371 | £39,312 | £1,941 | 4.9\% | £35,376 | £37,390 | £2,014 | 5.4\% | 95.1\% | 94.6\% |
| RHUL 6 | £28,921 | £31,183 | £2,263 | 7.3\% | £28,408 | £32,568 | £4,160 | 12.8\% | 92.7\% | 87.2\% |
| RHUL 7 | £36,361 | £36,442 | £81 | 0.2\% | £35,376 | £35,376 | £0 | 0.0\% | 99.8\% | 100.0\% |
| RHUL 8 | £43,858 | £44,952 | £1,094 | 2.4\% | £42,981 | £42,981 | £0 | 0.0\% | 97.6\% | 100.0\% |
| RHUL 9 |  | - | - | 100.0\% |  | - | - | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |

## Grades RHUL 1-10

3.15 There are no significant mean pay gaps for base pay within grades other than the aggregated RHUL 10, which is comprised of four different grades (Table 11). Grades 10A-C all show a difference greater than $3 \%$ between males and females; indeed, in the case of Grade 10C this is a pay lead for women of $5.3 \%$ (Table 12 refers).

Table 11: Mean Base Pay by Grade and Gender

|  | Mean |  |  |  | Median |  |  |  | Mean Female as \% of male | Median Female as \% of male |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | Male | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Diff- } \\ \text { erence } \end{array}$ | Pay Gap | Female | Male | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Diff- } \\ \text { erence } \end{array}$ | Pay Gap |  |  |
| RHUL10 | £96,183 | £104,773 | £8,590 | 8.2\% | 97869 | £105,130 | £7,261 | 6.9\% | 91.8\% | 93.1\% |
| RHUL 9 | £53,162 | £53,733 | £571 | 1.1\% | £54,841 | £54,841 | £0 | 0.0\% | 98.9\% | 100.0\% |
| RHUL 8 | £43,356 | £44,382 | £1,026 | 2.3\% | £45,954 | £45,954 | £0 | 0.0\% | 97.7\% | 100.0\% |
| RHUL 7 | £34,600 | £34,923 | $£ 323$ | 0.9\% | £33,242 | £35,256 | £2,014 | 5.7\% | 99.1\% | 94.3\% |
| RHUL 6 | £28,083 | £28,175 | £92 | 0.3\% | £27,864 | £27,864 | £0 | 0.0\% | 99.7\% | 100.0\% |
| RHUL 5 | £22,761 | £23,164 | $£ 403$ | 1.7\% | £22,685 | £23,386 | £701 | 3.0\% | 98.3\% | 97.0\% |
| RHUL 4 | £19,404 | £19,617 | £213 | 1.1\% | £19,632 | £20,490 | £858.00 | 4.2\% | 98.1\% | 93.1\% |
| RHUL 3 | £17,306 | £17,457 | £151 | 0.9\% | £17,780 | £17,780 | £0 | 0.0\% | 99.1\% | 100.0\% |
| RHUL 2 | £15,356 | £15,076 | £281 | -1.9\% | £15,356 | £15,158 | £199 | -1.3\% | 101.9\% | 101.3\% |
| RHUL 1 | - | - | £0 | 0.0\% | - | - | £0 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

Table 12: Mean Base Pay by Gender - RHUL / Grade 10

| Grade | Female as \% of male | \% Pay gap |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| RHUL 10 | $102.6 \%$ | $-2.6 \%$ |
| GRADE10A | $96.1 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| GRADE10B | $96.6 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ |
| GRADE10C | $105.3 \%$ | $-5.3 \%$ |

3.16 There is a similar pattern in terms of total pay; indeed, the pay gaps marginally narrow - see Table 14. Although there is a broadly equal split between men and women at each level in RHUL / Grade 10, the number of incumbents is small. This means it is not possible to draw firm conclusions from the differences at Grade 10C where female employees have a pay lead of in excess of $5 \%$ for both base pay and total pay (Table 14); however, instances should be reviewed on a case by case basis to ensure that the differences can be fully justified for reasons other than gender.

Table 13: Total Pay by Gender and Grade

|  | Mean |  |  |  | Median |  |  |  | Mean <br> Female as \% of male | Median Female as \% of male |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | Male | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Diff- } \\ \text { erence } \end{array}$ | Pay Gap | Female | Male | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Diff- } \\ \text { erence } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Pay Gap |  |  |
| RHUL10 | £98,317 | £108,693 | £10,376 | 9.5\% | £100,003 | £107,264 | £7,261 | 6.8\% | 90.5\% | 93.2\% |
| RHUL 9 | £56,058 | £56,584 | £526 | 0.9\% | £56,975 | £56,975 | £0 | 0.0\% | 99.1\% | 100.0\% |
| RHUL 8 | £45,631 | £46,748 | $£ 1,117$ | 2.4\% | £48,088 | £48,088 | £0 | 0.0\% | 97.6\% | 100.0\% |
| RHUL 7 | £36,756 | £37,099 | £343 | 0.9\% | £35,376 | £37,390 | £2,014 | 5.4\% | 99.1\% | 94.6\% |
| RHUL 6 | £30,200 | £30,389 | £189 | 0.6\% | £29,998 | £29,998 | £0 | 0.0\% | 99.4\% | 100.0\% |
| RHUL 5 | £24,929 | £25,686 | £757 | 2.9\% | £24,819 | £25,520 | £701 | 2.7\% | 97.1\% | 97.3\% |
| RHUL 4 | £21,506 | £21,912 | £405 | 1.9\% | £21,766 | £22,624 | £858 | 3.8\% | 97.6\% | 93.8\% |
| RHUL 3 | £19,440 | £19,591 | £151 | 0.8\% | £19,914 | £19,914 | £0 | 0.0\% | 99.2\% | 100.0\% |
| RHUL 2 | £17,490 | £17,209 | £281 | -1.6\% | £17,490 | £17,291 | £199 | -1.1\% | 101.6\% | 101.1\% |
| RHUL 1 | - | - | £0 | 0.0\% | - | - | £0 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

Table 14: Mean Total Pay by Gender - RHUL / Grade 10

| Grade | Female as \% of male | \% Pay gap |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| RHUL 10 | $102.6 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ |
| GRADE10A | $96.1 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ |
| GRADE10B | $96.6 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| GRADE10C | $105.3 \%$ | $-5.1 \%$ |

## Ethnic Group

3.17 Over the whole workforce, BAME employees have a mean base pay gap of $10.1 \%$ and $10.8 \%$ for total pay (Tables 15 and 16). This reflects the greater proportions of BAME staff in Grades 1-9 than at higher levels. That said, there is no difference in median pay between these groups. As for the gender gap at group level, the scale of the pay gap indicates the scale of the challenge in terms of equality of opportunity as it reflects the skew towards lower grades of the distribution of BAME employees compared with their White colleagues.

Table 15: Staff Groups - Ethnicity Pay Gap (Base Pay)

|  | Mean |  |  |  | Median |  |  |  | Mean BAME as \% of white | Median BAME as \% of white |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BAME | White | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Diff- } \\ \text { erence } \end{array}$ | Pay Gap | BAME | White | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Diff- } \\ \text { erence } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pay } \\ & \text { Gap } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| All Staff | £37,646 | £41,874 | £4,228 | 10.1\% | £37,394 | £37,394 | £0 | 0.0\% | 89.9\% | 100\% |
| ACAD | £50,860 | £58,744 | £7,884 | 13.4\% | £46,641 | £54,841 | £8,200 | 15.0\% | 86.6\% | 85.0\% |
| AD1-5 | £19,527 | £20,608 | £1,080 | 5.2\% | £18,549 | £20,490 | £1,941 | 9.5\% | 94.8\% | 90.5\% |
| AD6-10 | £37,197 | £40,282 | £3,085 | 7.7\% | £35,256 | £37,394 | £2,138 | 5.7\% | 92.3\% | 94.3\% |
| RES | £35,415 | £36,497 | £1,081 | 3.0\% | £33,242 | £35,256 | £2,014 | 5.7\% | 97.0\% | 94.3\% |

Table 16: Staff Groups - Ethnicity Pay Gap (Total Pay)

|  | Mean |  |  |  | Median |  |  |  | Mean BAME as \% of white | Median BAME as \% of white |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BAME | White | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Diff- } \\ \text { erence } \end{array}$ | Pay Gap | BAME | White | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Diff- } \\ \text { erence } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pay } \\ & \text { Gap } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| All Staff | £39,831 | £44,667 | £4,228 | 10.8\% | £39,528 | £39,528 | £0 | 0.0\% | 89.9\% | 100\% |
| ACAD | £53,180 | £62,494 | £7,884 | 14.9\% | £49,462 | £56,975 | £8,200 | 13.2\% | 86.6\% | 85.0\% |
| AD1-5 | £21,661 | £22,832 | £1,080 | 5.1\% | £20,683 | £22,624 | £1,941 | 8.6\% | 94.8\% | 90.5\% |
| AD6-10 | £39,331 | £42,634 | £3,085 | 7.7\% | £37,390 | £39,528 | £2,138 | 5.4\% | 92.3\% | 94.3\% |
| RES | £37,385 | £38,525 | £1,081 | 3.0\% | £35,376 | £37,390 | £2,014 | 5.4\% | 97.0\% | 94.3\% |

## Academic Group

3.18 Tables 17 and 18 show that, at the level of jobs rated as equivalent (Reader, Senior Lecturer and Lecturer) the mean pay gaps for each level are less than $3 \%$ and there is no difference in median pay.
3.19 The category Other contains differing balances of RHUL 7 and RHUL 8, which results in the aggregate difference in excess of $5 \%$. However, within the two grades represented (RHUL 7 and RHUL 8), the pay gaps are $2.4 \%$ and $1.2 \%$ respectively; there is no need for further investigation.

Table 17: Academic Group - Ethnicity Pay Gap (Base Pay)

|  | Mean |  |  |  | Median |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BAME | White | Difference | Pay Gap | BAME | White | Difference | Pay Gap |
| ACAD | £50,860 | £58,744 | £7,884 | 13.4\% | £46,641 | £54,841 | £8,200 | 15.0\% |
| ACAD - Prof | £78,015 | £77,348 | -£667 | -0.9\% | £76,235 | £76,235 | £0 | 0.0\% |
| ACAD - Reader | £52,568 | £53,995 | £1,427 | 2.6\% | £54,841 | £54,841 | £0 | 0.0\% |
| ACAD - Senior Lecturer | £53,657 | £52,802 | -£855 | -1.6\% | £54,841 | £54,841 | £0 | 0.0\% |
| ACAD - Lecturer | £44,616 | £43,418 | -£1,198 | -2.8\% | £45,954 | £45,954 | £0 | 0.0\% |
| ACAD - Other | £36,771 | £38,913 | £2,142 | 5.5\% | £38,511 | £38,511 | £0 | 0.0\% |

Table 18: Academic Group - Ethnicity Pay Gap (Total Pay)

|  | Mean |  |  | Median |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BAME | White | Difference | Pay Gap | BAME | White | Difference | Pay Gap |
| ACAD | $£ 52,889$ | $£ 62,311$ | $£ 9,422$ | $15.1 \%$ | $£ 49,462$ | $£ 56,975$ | $£ 7,513$ | $13.2 \%$ |
| ACAD - Prof | $£ 81,058$ | $£ 83,094$ | $£ 2,036$ | $2.5 \%$ | $£ 83,849$ | $£ 82,059$ | $-£ 1,790$ | $-2.2 \%$ |
| ACAD - Reader | $£ 54,702$ | $£ 56,648$ | $£ 1,947$ | $3.4 \%$ | $£ 56,975$ | $£ 56,975$ | $£ 0$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| ACAD - Senior Lecturer | $£ 55,754$ | $£ 55,836$ | $£ 82$ | $0.1 \%$ | $£ 56,975$ | $£ 56,975$ | $£ 0$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| ACAD - Lecturer | $£ 46,943$ | $£ 45,769$ | $-£ 1,175$ | $-2.6 \%$ | $£ 48,088$ | $£ 48,088$ | $£ 0$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| ACAD - Other | $£ 38,905$ | $£ 41,047$ | $£ 2,143$ | $5.2 \%$ | $£ 40,645$ | $£ 40,645$ | $£ 0$ | $0.0 \%$ |

3.20 There are no ethnic minority Professors at Bands 1 or 5 . There are 11 within the group overall. Within this small sample, there is no apparent cause for concern as mean pay is broadly equal (Tables 19 and 20). The one area of caution flagged is at Professor Band 2 , where the median pay gap exceeds $5 \%$. An initial review by Department does not give cause for concern, indeed in Departments where there are both BAME and White Professors the differences are very limited. No further investigation required, although continual monitoring of differences between departments would be advised.

Table 19: Professors - Ethnicity Pay Gap (Base Pay)

| Grade | BAME as a \% of White |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean Pay Gap | Median Pay Gap |
| ACAD - Prof | $2.5 \%$ | $-2.2 \%$ |
| Prof Band1 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Prof Band2 | $-0.4 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ |
| Prof Band3 | $-0.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Prof Band4 | $-0.6 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Prof Band5 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

3.21 The situation is broadly reversed in terms of total pay for Professor Band 4 , where two Departments pay market supplements but there are no BAME employees at this level in those Departments.

Table 20: Professors - Ethnicity Pay Gap (Total Pay)

| Grade | BAME as a \% of White |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean Pay Gap | Median Pay Gap |
| ACAD - Prof | $-0.9 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ |
| Prof Band1 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Prof Band2 | $2.4 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ |
| Prof Band3 | $2.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Prof Band4 | $4.6 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Prof Band5 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

## Research

3.22 The majority of BAME employees in the Research Group are in Grade 7. There are none in RHUL 9 and one in RHUL 8 and, therefore, no cause for concern given that the pay gaps for mean base and total pay fall within the $5 \%$ range. Tables 21 and 22 refer.

Table 21: Research Pay by Ethnicity

|  | Mean |  |  | Median |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BAME | White | Difference | Pay Gap | BAME | White | Difference | Pay Gap |
| Research Base Pay | $£ 35,415$ | $£ 36,497$ | $£ 1,081$ | $3.0 \%$ | $£ 33,242$ | $£ 35,256$ | $£ 2,014$ | $5.7 \%$ |
| Research Total Pay | $£ 35,376$ | $£ 38,525$ | $£ 3,149$ | $8.2 \%$ | $£ 37,385$ | $£ 37,390$ | $£ 5$ | $0.0 \%$ |

Table 22: Research Pay by Grade and Ethnicity

| Grade | BAME as a \% of White |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean Pay Gap - Base Pay | Mean Pay Gap Total Pay |
| RHUL 6 | $-2.1 \%$ | $-1.9 \%$ |
| RHUL | $0.2 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| RHUL 8 | $3.9 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ |
| RHUL 9 | - | - |

## Grades RHUL 1-10

3.23 Where the numbers in any group are too small to maintain confidentiality, only the pay gap is reported in Tables 23 and 24.
3.24 There appears to be a significant mean pay gap within the aggregated RHUL / Grade 10, of $8.2 \%$. When this is broken out to its constituent grades, there is no more than one in a grade and it is not therefore possible to draw conclusions other than the need for continuing vigilance and care when determining pay for roles at RHUL / Grade 10.
3.25 There is cause for concern at RHUL 4, where a mean base pay gap of $4.4 \%$ extends to $9.5 \%$ at the median and for total pay the pay gaps are $4.2 \%$ and $8.6 \%$ respectively. The difference would appear to be primarily associated with length of time on grade. However, further investigation is recommended to confirm this.

Table 23: Mean Base Pay by Grade and Ethnic Group


Table 24: Total Pay by Grade and Ethnic Group

|  | Mean |  |  |  | Median |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BAME | White | Difference | Pay Gap | BAME | White | Difference | Pay Gap |
| RHUL10 |  |  |  | 7.6\% |  |  |  | 1.3\% |
| RHUL 9 | £56,156 | £56,416 | £260 | 0.5\% | £56,975 | £56,975 | £0 | 0.0\% |
| RHUL 8 | £46,403 | £46,172 | -£231 | -0.5\% | £48,088 | £48,088 | £0 | 0.0\% |
| RHUL 7 | £36,626 | £37,067 | $£ 440$ | 1.2\% | £35,376 | £37,390 | £2,014 | 5.4\% |
| RHUL 6 | £29,761 | £30,287 | £526 | 1.7\% | £29,998 | £30,413 | £416 | 1.4\% |
| RHUL 5 | £24,640 | £25,227 | £587 | 2.3\% | £24,163 | £24,819 | £656 | 2.6\% |
| RHUL 4 | £21,017 | £21,937 | £920 | 4.2\% | £20,683 | £22,624 | £1,941 | 8.6\% |
| RHUL 3 |  |  |  | -1.4\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% |
| RHUL 2 |  |  |  | 1.2\% |  |  |  | 2.3\% |
| RHUL 1 |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% |

## Disability

3.26 Just 28 people across the institution have self-identified as disabled. Analysis is consequently limited. As can be seen, at the group level there appear to be significant pay gaps, although there is not a consistent pattern, Table 25. As with other dimensions, the pay difference reduces when considered at the level of equal work - see Table 26.

Table 25: Disability Pay Gap - Analysis by Staff Group

| Grade | Mean Pay Gap - People with disability as \% not disabled |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Base Pay | Total Pay |
| ACAD | $6.9 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ |
| AD1-5 | $2.3 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| AD6-10 | $12.8 \%$ | $12.6 \%$ |
| RES | $-8.0 \%$ | $-7.9 \%$ |

Table 26: Disability Pay Gap - Grade Analysis

| Grade | Mean Pay Gap - People with disability as \% not disabled |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Base Pay | Total Pay |
| GRADE10C | $0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| RHUL 6 | $3 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ |
| RHUL 7 | $-7 \%$ | $-6.9 \%$ |
| RHUL 8 | $6 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ |
| RHUL 9 | $-1 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |

3.27 Whilst there are significant pay gaps at two grades, these are in opposite directions; there appears to be no pattern to these pay differences. The differences may be explained in terms of length of time in grade, as there appears to be a direct relationship between relative length of time in the grade and mean pay for each group (Table 27 refers). For example, at RHUL 7 the pay lead for people with disability of $7 \%$ correlates with a mean length of time in grade of 4.6 years, compared with 3.3 years for those without. The situation is reversed at RHUL 8 .

Table 27: Mean Length of Time in Grade

| Mean Length of Time in Grade |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Disabled | Not Disabled |
| Grade 10 | 2.3 | 2.4 |
| RHUL 6 | 2.3 | 2.9 |
| RHUL 7 | 4.6 | 3.3 |
| RHUL 8 | 2.2 | 3.6 |
| RHUL 9 | 5.7 | 4.1 |

## Employment Status

3.28 Fixed Term employees have a pay lead at all grades where there are sufficient employees in the category to report fully. There are significant pay gaps at RHUL 8 and RHUL 6 between Fixed Term and Permanent employees that warrant further investigation. In Tables 28-29, where a category was too small to report the mean pay value (fewer than five incumbents) this is denoted by "-". Blanks indicate no representation.

Table 28: Employment Status by Staff Group and Academic Level

| Grade |  | Mean |  |  | Median |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fixed Term | Permanent | $\%$ Pay gap | Fixed Term | Permanent |
| \% Pay Gap |  |  |  |  |  |
| Academic | $£ 39,287$ | $£ 59,959$ | $34.5 \%$ | $£ 38,511$ | $£ 54,841$ | $29.8 \%$ |
| RHUL1-5 (excl ACAD and RES) | $£ 19,834$ | $£ 20,474$ | $3.1 \%$ | $£ 20,490$ | $£ 20,490$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| RHUL6-10 (excl ACAD and RES) | $£ 30,671$ | $£ 41,468$ | $26.0 \%$ | $£ 27,864$ | $£ 37,394$ | $25.5 \%$ |
| Research | - | - | $10.3 \%$ | - | - | $11.1 \%$ |
| ACAD - Professor | $£ 69,808$ | $£ 77,753$ | $10.2 \%$ | $£ 69,808$ | $£ 76,235$ | $8.4 \%$ |
| ACAD - Reader | - | - | $14.2 \%$ | - | - | $21.0 \%$ |
| ACAD - Senior Lecturer | $£ 48,743$ | $£ 52,939$ | $7.9 \%$ | $£ 48,743$ | $£ 54,841$ | $11.1 \%$ |
| ACAD - Lecturer | $£ 40,074$ | $£ 44,379$ | $9.7 \%$ | $£ 38,511$ | $£ 45,954$ | $16.2 \%$ |
| ACAD - Other | $£ 36,622$ | $£ 44,909$ | $18.5 \%$ | $£ 36,884$ | $£ 45,954$ | $19.7 \%$ |

Table 29: Employment Status by Grade/Band

| Grade | Mean |  |  | Median |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fixed Term | Permanent | \% Pay gap | Fixed Term | Permanent | \% Pay Gap |
| Prof Band1 | - | - | -3.6\% | - | £61,792.00 | 6.4\% |
| Prof Band2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prof Band3 | - | - | 14.5\% | - | - | 14.8\% |
| Prof Band4 | - | - | 13.6\% | - | - | 14.0\% |
| Prof Band5 |  | - |  |  | - |  |
| RHUL10 |  | - |  |  | - |  |
| RHUL 9 | £52,377 | £53,556 | 2.2\% | £54,841 | £54,841 | 0.0\% |
| RHUL 8 | £40,631 | £44,493 | 8.7\% | £39,685 | £45,954 | 13.6\% |
| RHUL 7 | £34,403 | £35,611 | 3.4\% | £33,242 | £37,394 | 11.1\% |
| RHUL 6 | £27,154 | £28,751 | 5.6\% | £26,274 | £29,552 | 11.1\% |
| RHUL 5 | £22,229 | £22,913 | 3.0\% | £21,710 | £22,685 | 4.3\% |
| RHUL 4 | £18,882 | £19,383 | 2.4\% | £18,549 | £19,632 | 5.5\% |
| RHUL 3 | - | - | 7.1\% | - | - | 11.3\% |
| RHUL 2 |  | - |  |  | - |  |
| RHUL 1 |  | - |  |  | - |  |

## Position Status

3.29 Analysis of Part-Time compared with Full-Time (Position Status) is shown in Tables $30-39$. Where a category was too small to report the mean pay value (fewer than five incumbents) this is denoted by "-". Blanks indicate no representation.
3.30 The only significant pay gaps at grade level fall within the Professorial Bands (Tables $34-39$ refer). The differences between Bands very widely, from a mean base pay lead for Part-Time Professors compared with Full-Time Professors at Band 1 of $33 \%$, to a pay gap of $18 \%$ at Band 2 . Given the very small number (2) of part-time Professors at these levels, this suggests that the differences may be role dependent and we would recommend a case by case review.

Table 30: Base Pay and Position Status by Staff Group

|  | Mean |  |  |  | Median |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Part-Time | Full-Time | Difference | Pay Gap | Part-Time | Full-Time | Difference | Pay Gap |
| All | £34,769 | £43,103 | £8,334 | 19.3\% | £30,888 | £39,685 | £8,797 | 22.2\% |
| ACAD | £51,678 | £58,901 | £7,223 | 12.3\% | £45,954 | £54,841 | £8,887 | 16.2\% |
| AD1-5 | £19,343 | £20,957 | £1,613 | 7.7\% | £18,549 | £20,781 | £2,232 | 10.7\% |
| AD6-10 | £37,399 | £40,261 | £2,862 | 7.1\% | £37,394 | £37,394 | $£ 0.00$ | 0\% |
| RES | £34,258 | £36,901 | £2,643 | 7.2\% | £32,277 | £35,256 | £2,979 | 8.5\% |

Table 31: Total Pay and Position Status by Staff Group

|  | Mean |  |  |  | Median |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Part-Time | Full-Time | Difference | Pay Gap | Part-Time | Full-Time | Difference | Pay Gap |
| All | £37,239 | £45,886 | £8,647 | 18.8\% | £33,022 | £41,819 | £8,797 | 21.0\% |
| ACAD | £54,942 | £62,524 | £7,581 | 12.1\% | £48,088 | £56,975 | £8,887 | 15.6\% |
| AD1-5 | £38,888 | £45,502 | £6,614 | 14.5\% | £37,390 | £46,144 | £8,755 | 19.0\% |
| AD6-10 | £21,493 | £23,200 | £1,707 | 7.4\% | £20,683 | £22,915 | £2,232 | 9.8\% |
| RES | £36,290 | £38,910 | £2,620 | 6.7\% | £34,411 | £37,390 | £2,979 | 8.0\% |

Table 32: Base Pay and Position Status by Academic Level

|  | Mean |  |  |  | Median |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Part-Time | Full-Time | Difference | Pay Gap | Part-Time | Full-Time | Difference | Pay Gap |
| ACAD - Professor | £84,631 | £76,764 | -£7,867 | -10.2\% | £86,863 | £74,690 | -£12,173 | -16.30\% |
| ACAD - Reader | £55,662 | £53,544 | -£2,117 | -4.0\% | £55,662 | £54,841 | -£821 | -1.50\% |
| ACAD - Senior Lecturer | £53,841 | £52,837 | -£1,003 | -1.9\% | £54,841 | £54,841 | £0 | 0.00\% |
| ACAD - Lecturer | £44,006 | £43,683 | -£323 | -0.7\% | £45,954 | £45,954 | £0 | 0.00\% |
| ACAD - Other | £36,754 | £43,368 | £6,614 | 15.3\% | £35,256 | £44,011 | £8,755 | 19.89\% |

Table 33: Total Pay and Position Status by Academic Level

|  |  |  |  |  | Mean |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Part-Time | Full-Time | Difference | Pay Gap | Part-Time | Full-Time | Difference | Pay Gap |
|  | ACAD - Professor | $£ 90,402$ | $£ 82,375$ | $-£ 8,026$ | $-9.7 \%$ | $£ 88,997$ | $£ 80,194$ | $-£ 8,803$ |
| ACAD - Reader | $£ 57,795$ | $£ 56,128$ | $-£ 1,667$ | $-3.0 \%$ | $£ 57,795$ | $£ 56,975$ | $-£ 821$ | $-11.0 \%$ |
| ACAD - Senior Lecturer | $£ 57,919$ | $£ 55,677$ | $-£ 2,242$ | $-4.0 \%$ | $£ 56,975$ | $£ 56,975$ | $£ 0$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| ACAD - Lecturer | $£ 46,483$ | $£ 45,990$ | $-£ 493$ | $-1.1 \%$ | $£ 48,088$ | $£ 48,088$ | $£ 0$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| ACAD - Other | $£ 39,533$ | $£ 42,635$ | $£ 3,102$ | $7.3 \%$ | $£ 39,528$ | $£ 39,528$ | $£ 0$ | $0.0 \%$ |

Table 34: Base Pay and Position Status by Grade

|  | Mean |  |  | Median |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Part-Time | Full-Time | Difference | Pay Gap | Part-Time | Full-Time | Difference | Pay Gap |
| Prof Band1 |  |  |  | $-33.2 \%$ |  |  |  | $-31.8 \%$ |
| Prof Band2 |  |  |  | $17.7 \%$ |  |  |  | $9.5 \%$ |
| Prof Band3 |  |  |  | $1.7 \%$ |  |  |  | $2.0 \%$ |
| Prof Band4 | $£ 86,038$ | $£ 86,542$ | $£ 503$ | $0.6 \%$ | $£ 86,863$ | $£ 86,863$ | $£ 0$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Prof Band5 |  |  |  | $-6.8 \%$ |  |  |  | $0.0 \%$ |
| RHUL10 |  |  |  | $3.1 \%$ |  |  |  | $-0.1 \%$ |
| RHUL 9 | $£ 53,409$ | $£ 53,528$ | $£ 119$ | $0.2 \%$ | $£ 54,841$ | $£ 54,841$ | $£ 0$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| RHUL 8 | $£ 43,623$ | $£ 43,944$ | $£ 321$ | $0.7 \%$ | $£ 45,954$ | $£ 45,954$ | $£ 0$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| RHUL7 | $£ 34,006$ | $£ 34,918$ | $£ 912$ | $2.6 \%$ | $£ 33,242$ | $£ 35,256$ | $£ 2,014$ | $5.7 \%$ |
| RHUL6 | $£ 28,200$ | $£ 28,090$ | $-£ 110$ | $-0.4 \%$ | $£ 28,695$ | $£ 27,864$ | $-£ 831$ | $-3.0 \%$ |
| RHUL5 | $£ 23,026$ | $£ 22,847$ | $-£ 180$ | $-0.8 \%$ | $£ 22,685$ | $£ 22,685$ | $£ 0$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| RHUL4 | $£ 19,835$ | $£ 19,482$ | $-£ 353$ | $-1.8 \%$ | $£ 20,490$ | $£ 19,632$ | $-£ 858$ | $-4.4 \%$ |
| RHUL3 | $£ 17,329$ | $£ 17,381$ | $£ 53$ | $0.3 \%$ | $£ 17,780$ | $£ 17,780$ | $£ 0$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| RHUL2 |  |  |  | $-2.5 \%$ |  |  |  | $-2.7 \%$ |
| RHUL1 | $£ 13,953$ | $£ 13,953$ | $£ 0$ | $0.0 \%$ | $£ 13,953$ | $£ 13,953$ | $£ 0$ | $0.0 \%$ |

Table 35: Total Pay and Position Status by Grade

|  | Mean |  |  | Median |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Part-Time | Full-Time | Difference | Pay Gap | Part-Time | Full-Time | Difference | Pay Gap |
| Prof Band1 |  |  |  | $-29.9 \%$ |  |  |  | $-30.7 \%$ |
| Prof Band2 |  |  |  | $21.6 \%$ |  |  |  | $9.2 \%$ |
| Prof Band3 |  |  |  | $5.6 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Prof Band4 | $£ 93,172$ | $£ 92,599$ | $-£ 574$ | $-0.6 \%$ | $£ 88,997$ | $£ 88,997$ | $£ 0$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Prof Band5 |  |  |  | $-8.7 \%$ |  |  |  | $0.0 \%$ |
| RHUL10 |  |  |  | $4.0 \%$ |  |  | $-0.1 \%$ |  |
| RHUL 9 | $£ 56,654$ | $£ 56,361$ | $-£ 293$ | $-0.5 \%$ | $£ 56,975$ | $£ 56,975$ | $£ 0$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| RHUL 8 | $£ 45,871$ | $£ 46,286$ | $£ 415$ | $0.9 \%$ | $£ 48,088$ | $£ 48,088$ | $£ 0$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| RHUL 7 | $£ 36,094$ | $£ 37,100$ | $£ 1,005$ | $2.7 \%$ | $£ 35,376$ | $£ 37,390$ | $£ 2,014$ | $5.4 \%$ |
| RHUL 6 | $£ 30,334$ | $£ 30,246$ | $-£ 89$ | $-0.3 \%$ | $£ 30,829$ | $£ 29,998$ | $-£ 831$ | $-2.8 \%$ |
| RHUL 5 | $£ 25,160$ | $£ 25,155$ | $-£ 5$ | $0.0 \%$ | $£ 24,819$ | $£ 24,819$ | $£ 0$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| RHUL 4 | $£ 22,005$ | $£ 21,667$ | $-£ 338$ | $-1.6 \%$ | $£ 22,624$ | $£ 21,766$ | $-£ 858$ | $-3.9 \%$ |
| RHUL 3 | $£ 19,463$ | $£ 19,515$ | $£ 53$ | $0.3 \%$ | $£ 19,914$ | $£ 19,914$ | $£ 0$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| RHUL 2 |  |  |  | $-2.2 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| RHUL 1 | $£ 16,087$ | $£ 16,087$ | $£ 0$ | $0.0 \%$ | $£ 16,087$ | $£ 16,087$ | $£ 0$ | $0.3 .3 \%$ |

3.31 The analysis of Part-Time staff by gender within grade (Tables $38-39$ ) suggests there is cause for concern at RHUL 8 , where females have a pay lead over males of approaching $5 \%$ and significantly more in terms of median pay. This difference appears to be entirely explained by length of time in grade. We would recommend further investigation to confirm this finding.

Table 36: Base Pay by Staff Group and Gender of Part-Time Staff

| Grade | Mean |  |  |  | Median |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | Male | Difference | \% Pay gap | Female | Male | Difference | \% Pay Gap |
|  | $£ 30,462$ | $£ 46,528$ | $£ 16,066$ | $34.5 \%$ | $£ 26,274$ | $£ 38,511$ | $£ 12,237$ | $31.8 \%$ |
| Academic | $£ 45,485$ | $£ 59,109$ | $£ 13,624$ | $23.0 \%$ | $£ 45,954$ | $£ 45,954$ | $£ 0$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| RHUL1-5 (excl ACAD and RES) | $£ 19,277$ | $£ 20,089$ | $£ 811$ | $4.0 \%$ | $£ 18,549$ | $£ 19,970$ | $£ 1,421$ | $7.1 \%$ |
| RHUL6-10 (excl ACAD and RES) | $£ 36,948$ | $£ 38,858$ | $£ 1,910$ | $4.9 \%$ | $£ 37,394$ | $£ 32,277$ | $-£ 5,117$ | $-15.9 \%$ |
| Research | $£ 31,902$ | $£ 35,707$ | $£ 3,805$ | $10.7 \%$ | $£ 29,276$ | $£ 32,277$ | $£ 3,002$ | $9.3 \%$ |
| ACAD - Professor | $£ 69,630$ | $£ 90,257$ | $£ 20,627$ | $22.9 \%$ | $£ 73,203$ | $£ 86,863$ | $£ 13,661$ | $15.7 \%$ |
| ACAD - Reader | $£ 56,482$ | $£ 54,841$ | $-£ 1,641$ | $-3.0 \%$ | $£ 56,482$ | $£ 54,841$ | $-£ 1,641$ | $-3.0 \%$ |
| ACAD - Senior Lecturer | $£ 53,507$ | $£ 54,841$ | $£ 1,334$ | $2.4 \%$ | $£ 54,841$ | $£ 54,841$ | $£ 0$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| ACAD - Lecturer | $£ 43,644$ | $£ 44,935$ | $£ 1,291$ | $2.9 \%$ | $£ 45,954$ | $£ 45,954$ | $£ 0$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| ACAD - Other | $£ 35,739$ | $£ 37,883$ | $£ 2,144$ | $5.7 \%$ | $£ 33,242$ | $£ 38,511$ | $£ 5,269$ | $13.7 \%$ |

Table 37: Total Pay by Staff Group and Gender of Part-Time Staff

| Grade | Mean |  |  |  | Median |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | Male | Difference | \% Pay gap | Female | Male | Difference | \% Pay Gap |
| All Part-Time Staff | $£ 32,818$ | $£ 49,312$ | $£ 16,495$ | $33.4 \%$ | $£ 28,408$ | $£ 40,645$ | $£ 12,237$ | $30.1 \%$ |
| Academic | $£ 48,581$ | $£ 62,576$ | $£ 13,996$ | $22.4 \%$ | $£ 48,088$ | $£ 48,088$ | $£ 0$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| RHUL1-5 (excl ACAD and RES) | $£ 21,429$ | $£ 22,223$ | $£ 794$ | $3.6 \%$ | $£ 20,683$ | $£ 22,104$ | $£ 1,421$ | $6.4 \%$ |
| RHUL6-10 (excl ACAD and RES) | $£ 39,082$ | $£ 40,992$ | $£ 1,910$ | $4.7 \%$ | $£ 39,528$ | $£ 34,411$ | $-£ 5,117$ | $-14.9 \%$ |
| Research | $£ 34,036$ | $£ 37,677$ | $£ 3,641$ | $9.7 \%$ | $£ 31,409$ | $£ 34,411$ | $£ 3,002$ | $8.7 \%$ |
| ACAD - Professor | $£ 75,097$ | $£ 96,141$ | $£ 21,043$ | $21.9 \%$ | $£ 75,336$ | $£ 88,997$ | $£ 13,661$ | $15.3 \%$ |
| ACAD - Reader | $£ 58,616$ | $£ 56,975$ | $-£ 1,641$ | $-2.9 \%$ | $£ 58,616$ | $£ 56,975$ | $-£ 11,641$ | $-2.9 \%$ |
| ACAD - Senior Lecturer | $£ 58,234$ | $£ 56,975$ | $-£ 1,259$ | $-2.2 \%$ | $£ 56,975$ | $£ 56,975$ | $£ 0$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| ACAD - Lecturer | $£ 46,255$ | $£ 47,069$ | $£ 814$ | $1.7 \%$ | $£ 48,088$ | $£ 48,088$ | $£ 0$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| ACAD - Other | $£ 37,873$ | $£ 40,017$ | $£ 2,144$ | $5.4 \%$ | $£ 35,376$ | $£ 40,645$ | $£ 5,269$ | $13.0 \%$ |

Table 38: Base Pay by Grade and Gender of Part-Time Staff

| Grade | Mean |  |  |  | Median |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | Male | Difference | \% Pay gap | Female | Male | Difference | \% Pay Gap |  |
|  |  |  |  | $38.8 \%$ |  |  |  | $38.8 \%$ |  |
| Prof Band2 |  |  |  | $17.2 \%$ |  |  |  | $17.2 \%$ |  |
| Prof Band3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prof Band4 |  |  |  | $-1.2 \%$ |  |  |  | $0.0 \%$ |  |
| Prof Band5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RHUL10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RHUL9 | $£ 52,961$ | $£ 54,006$ | $£ 1,045$ | $1.9 \%$ | $£ 54,841$ | $£ 54,841$ | $£ 0$ | $0.0 \%$ |  |
| RHUL 8 | $£ 44,229$ | $£ 42,251$ | $-£ 1,978$ | $-4.7 \%$ | $£ 45,954$ | $£ 39,685$ | $-£ 6,269$ | $-15.8 \%$ |  |
| RHUL7 | $£ 34,056$ | $£ 33,916$ | $-£ 140$ | $-0.4 \%$ | $£ 33,242$ | $£ 32,277$ | $-£ 965$ | $-3.0 \%$ |  |
| RHUL6 | $£ 28,299$ | $£ 27,973$ | $-£ 326$ | $-1.2 \%$ | $£ 28,695$ | $£ 27,864$ | $-£ 831$ | $-3.0 \%$ |  |
| RHUL5 |  |  |  | $0.5 \%$ |  |  |  | $0.0 \%$ |  |
| RHUL4 |  |  |  | $-0.8 \%$ |  |  |  | $0.0 \%$ |  |
| RHUL3 |  |  |  | $-1.4 \%$ |  |  |  | $0.0 \%$ |  |
| RHUL2 |  |  |  | $0.0 \%$ |  |  |  | $0.0 \%$ |  |
| RHUL 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 39: Total Pay by Grade and Gender of Part-Time Staff

| Grade | Mean |  |  |  | Median |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | Male | Difference | \% Pay gap | Female | Male | Difference | \% Pay Gap |
| Prof Band1 |  |  |  | 38.0\% |  |  |  | 38.0\% |
| Prof Band2 |  |  |  | 16.6\% |  |  |  | 16.6\% |
| Prof Band3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prof Band4 |  |  |  | -7.6\% |  |  |  | -11.2\% |
| Prof Band5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RHUL10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RHUL 9 | £57,040 | £56,140 | -£900 | -1.6\% | £56,975 | £56,975 | £0 | 0.0\% |
| RHUL 8 | £46,528 | £44,385 | -£2,143 | -4.8\% | £48,088 | £41,819 | -£6,269 | -15.0\% |
| RHUL 7 | £36,190 | £35,925 | -£266 | -0.7\% | £35,376 | £34,411 | -£965 | -2.8\% |
| RHUL 6 | £30,433 | £30,107 | -£326 | -1.1\% | £30,829 | £29,998 | -£831 | -2.8\% |
| RHUL 5 |  |  |  | 0.5\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% |
| RHUL 4 |  |  |  | -0.9\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% |
| RHUL 3 |  |  |  | -1.3\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% |
| RHUL 2 |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% |
| RHUL 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Visiting Teachers

3.32 There are no issues requiring further investigation in relation to hourly-paid visiting teachers and gender; the gender pay gap/pay lead does not exceed $3 \%$ at any grade (Table 40 refers).

Table 40: Visiting teachers hourly pay by gender and grade

| Hourly Pay | Count |  | Mean |  |  | Median |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | Male | Female | Male | Pay Gap | Female | Male | Pay Gap |
| RHUL6 | 303 | 318 | 14.61 | 14.71 | 0.7\% | 14.40 | 14.40 | 0\% |
| RHUL7 | 402 | 267 | 18.1 | 18.36 | 1.4\% | 17.69 | 17.86 | 1.0\% |
| RHUL8 | 136 | 114 | 22.75 | 22.1 | -2.9\% | 22.16 | 21.74 | -1.9\% |
| RHUL9 | 18 | 45 | 27.48 | 28.26 | 2.8\% | 29.46 | 29.46 | 0\% |

3.33 In relation to ethnic group, there is a significant pay gap of $10.6 \%$ in favour of white staff in Grade 9 (Table 41). This, however, is not reflected in the median difference and may therefore be reflected in length of time in the grade. Further investigation is required.

Table 41: Visiting teachers hourly pay by ethnicity and grade

| Hourly Pay | Count |  | Mean |  |  | Median |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BAME | White | BAME | White | Pay Gap | BAME | White | Pay Gap |
| RHUL6 | 120 | 441 | 14.58 | 14.67 | 0.6\% | 14.40 | 14.40 | 0\% |
| RHUL7 | 101 | 522 | 18.13 | 18.24 | 0.6\% | 17.69 | 17.69 | 0\% |
| RHUL8 | 31 | 201 | 22.54 | 22.49 | -0.2\% | 21.74 | 21.94 | 0.9\% |
| RHUL9 | 8 | 53 | 25.36 | 28.37 | 10.6\% | 28.62 | 29.46 | 2.9\% |

## 4 Other Elements of Pay

## Overtime

4.1 The proportion of males in receipt of overtime exceeds their proportion within the workforce at every grade except for RHUL 6. In terms of the payments received, the mean value of payments favours men at grades RHUL 1-5 and RHUL 9, and women at RHUL 6-8. Tables 42-43 refer.
4.2 We would recommend reviewing which roles qualify for overtime as a matter of good practice.

Table 42: Overtime Incidence and Distribution by Gender and Grade

| Grade | Count |  | Distribution |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | Male | Total | Female | Male |
|  |  | 3 | 3 | $0.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| RHUL 1 | 6 | 2 | 8 | $75.0 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ |
| RHUL 2 |  | 1 | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| RHUL 3 | 8 | 8 | 16 | $50.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ |
| RHUL 4 | 16 | 10 | 26 | $61.5 \%$ | $38.5 \%$ |
| RHUL 5 | 31 | 15 | 46 | $67.4 \%$ | $32.6 \%$ |
| RHUL 6 | 13 | 4 | 17 | $76.5 \%$ | $23.5 \%$ |
| RHUL 7 | 8 | 11 | 19 | $42.1 \%$ | $57.9 \%$ |
| RHUL 8 | 4 | 9 | 13 | $30.8 \%$ | $69.2 \%$ |
| RHUL 9 |  | 64 | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| TOTAL | 86 | 150 | $57.3 \%$ | $42.7 \%$ |  |

Table 43: Mean Difference in Value of Overtime Payments by Gender

| GRADE | Female | Male | Difference | \% Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M\&ATEMP |  | £3,734 | £3,734 |  |
| RHUL 1 | £477 | £1,156 | £679 | 58.7\% |
| RHUL 2 |  | £12 | £12 |  |
| RHUL 3 | £355 | £1,587 | £1,232 | 77.6\% |
| RHUL 4 | £708 | £2,341 | £1,633 | 69.7\% |
| RHUL 5 | £1,035 | £1,257 | £221 | 17.6\% |
| RHUL 6 | £1,061 | £718 | -£343 | -47.7\% |
| RHUL 7 | £2,658 | £1,507 | -£1,151 | -76.4\% |
| RHUL 8 | £1,288 | £949 | -£339 | -35.7\% |
| RHUL 9 |  | £4,635 | £4,635 |  |
| Grand Total | £1,039 | £1,580 | £541 | 34.3\% |

4.3 In the case of BAME staff, the proportion of payments received exceeds their representation across the workforce at most grades. In the grades in which they are most strongly represented, RHUL 4 and 5 , the mean value exceeds that received by their counterparts in the white comparator group (Tables 44-45).

Table 44: Overtime Incidence and Distribution by Ethnic Group and Grade

| GRADE | Count |  |  |  | Distribution |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BAME | White | Unknown | Total | BAME | White | Unknown |
| M\&ATEMP | 3 |  |  | 3 | $100.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| RHUL 1 | 3 | 5 |  | 8 | $37.5 \%$ | $62.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| RHUL2 |  | 1 |  | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| RHUL 3 | 1 | 14 | 1 | 16 | $6.3 \%$ | $87.5 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ |
| RHUL 4 | 7 | 19 |  | 26 | $26.9 \%$ | $73.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| RHUL 5 | 10 | 35 | 1 | 46 | $21.7 \%$ | $76.1 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ |
| RHUL6 | 2 | 15 |  | 17 | $11.8 \%$ | $88.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| RHUL 7 | 4 | 14 | 1 | 19 | $21.1 \%$ | $73.7 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ |
| RHUL 8 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 13 | $15.4 \%$ | $76.9 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ |
| RHUL 9 |  | 1 |  | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| TOTAL | 32 | 114 | 4 | 150 | $21.3 \%$ | $76.0 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ |

Table 45: Mean Difference in Value of Overtime Payments by Ethnic Group and Grade

| GRADE | BAME | White | Difference | \% Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M\&ATEMP | £3,734 |  | -£3,734 |  |
| RHUL 1 | £991 | £440 | -£551 | -125.1\% |
| RHUL 2 |  | £12 | £12 |  |
| RHUL 3 | £140 | £810 | £670 | 82.7\% |
| RHUL 4 | £1,952 | £1,110 | -£843 | -76.0\% |
| RHUL 5 | £1,939 | £896 | -£1,043 | -116.5\% |
| RHUL 6 | £3,818 | $£ 602$ | -£3,217 | -534.5\% |
| RHUL 7 | £2,083 | £2,062 | -£22 | -1.0\% |
| RHUL 8 | £836 | £1,120 | £284 | 25.4\% |
| RHUL 9 |  | £4,635 | £4,635 |  |
| TOTAL | £2,032 | £1,050 | -£982 | -93.5\% |

## Performance Awards

4.4 Female employees were more likely to receive an award. However, the mean value of an award received by males was always higher. Further investigation is required to understand the reasons for this. Tables 46-48 refer.

Table 46: Incidence, Distribution and Difference in Value of Awards by Gender and Grade

| GRADE | Female | Male | Total | $\%$ Female | $\%$ Male | \% Difference in <br> value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RHUL 3 |  | 2 | 2 | $0.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | - |
| RHUL 5 | 2 |  | 2 | $100.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | - |
| RHUL 6 | 5 | 1 | 6 | $83.3 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| RHUL 7 | 6 | 8 | 14 | $42.9 \%$ | $57.1 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ |
| RHUL 8 | 10 | 5 | 15 | $66.7 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ | $27.3 \%$ |
| RHUL 9 | 3 | 5 | 8 | $37.5 \%$ | $62.5 \%$ | $30.6 \%$ |
| RHUL 10 | 1 | 1 | 2 | $50.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $32.5 \%$ |
| GRADE10B | 1 | 28 | 1 | $100.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | - |
| TOTAL | 28 | 50 | $56.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 4 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 4 \%}$ |  |

Table 47: Incidence, Distribution and Difference in Value of Awards by ethnicity and grade

| GRADE | BAME | White | Total | $\%$ BAME | \% White | \% Difference in <br> value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RHUL 3 |  | 2 | 2 | $0.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | - |
| RHUL 5 |  | 6 | 6 | $0.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | - |
| RHUL 6 | 3 | 11 | 14 | $21.4 \%$ | $78.6 \%$ | - |
| RHUL 7 |  | 15 | 15 | $0.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $-6.9 \%$ |
| RHUL 8 | 1 | 7 | 8 | $12.5 \%$ | $87.5 \%$ | - |
| RHUL 9 |  | 2 | 2 | $0.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ |
| RHUL 10 |  | 1 | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | - |
| GRADE10B | 4 | 2 | 50 | $8.0 \%$ | $92.0 \%$ | - |
| TOTAL |  | 2 | $0.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ |  |

Table 48: Incidence and Distribution of Awards by Contract Status and Grade

|  | Fixed Term Contract Full-Time | Full-Time Permanent | Part-Time Permanent | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADE10B |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| RHUL 10 | 1 | 2 |  | 2 |
| RHUL 3 |  | 1 |  | 2 |
| RHUL 5 | 1 | 2 |  | 2 |
| RHUL 6 |  | 4 | 1 | 6 |
| RHUL 7 |  | 14 |  | 14 |
| RHUL 8 |  | 14 |  | 15 |
| RHUL 9 | 2 | 46 | 2 | 8 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  | 50 |

## Performance Related Pay

4.5 The number of payments made was very small and there was no grade at which payments were made to both male and female employees to make a comparison. None of the recipients was from a BAME group.

Table 49: Incidence and Distribution of Performance Related Pay by Gender and Grade

| GRADE | Female | Male | Total | \% Female | \% Male |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RHUL 5 |  | 2 | 2 | $0.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| RHUL 7 | 1 |  | 1 | $100.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| RHUL 8 |  | 1 | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| RHUL 9 |  | 1 | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| TOTAL | 1 | 4 | 5 | $20.0 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ |

## Academic Promotions

4.6 Academic promotions were effected in RHUL 9 and Professor Bands 1 and 2. There was only one promotion of a BAME employee, so the analysis in Tables 50-51 is restricted to gender.

Table 50: Incidence and Distribution of Academic Promotions by Grade and Gender

|  | Male | Female | \% Male | \% Female |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prof Band1 | 4 | 0 | $10.5 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Prof Band2 | 3 | 1 | $7.9 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ |
| RHUL 9 | 17 | 13 | $44.7 \%$ | $34.2 \%$ |
| Total | 24 | 14 | $63.2 \%$ | $36.8 \%$ |

Table 51: Distribution of Staff within Grade by Gender

|  | Male | Female | \% Male of Total | \% Female of Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prof Band1 | 13 | 8 | $3.9 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ |
| Prof Band2 | 42 | 23 | $12.6 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ |
| RHUL 9 | 154 | 93 | $46.3 \%$ | $27.9 \%$ |

4.7 As seen in the difference between the two tables, the proportion of promotions in RHUL 9 was in line with the gender distribution within that grade.
4.8 Although the total number of academic promotions is in line with the gender split overall in the academic group, academic promotions in the Professorial Bands disproportionately favour men. However, given the smaller group on which this is based it cannot be inferred that gender is a significant factor in these decisions. Further investigation is required.

## Market Supplements

4.9 Tables $52-55$ show Market Supplement payments. The $32 \%$ of Market Supplements are paid to people in the Department of Economics. Just under 20\% of people in Estates and the School of Management receive market supplement payments. Market supplements are paid predominantly to men. The only exceptions to this are Communications and External Relations and the Department of Psychology.

Table 52: Market Supplement by Department and Gender

|  | Female | Male | Grand Total | Female | M of Total <br> Market <br> Supplements |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Campus Services |  | 1 | 1 | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |
| Communications and External Relations | 3 | 1 | 4 | $75 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Department of Economics | 3 | 19 | 22 | $14 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| Department of Finance | 2 | 4 | 6 | $33 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Department of Psychology | 5 | 3 | 8 | $63 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Estates |  | 12 | 12 | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| IT Services |  | 1 | 1 | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| School of Management | 4 | 9 | 1 | $31 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| Other |  | 1 | 17 | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |
| Grand Total | 17 | 51 | 68 | $25 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $10 \%$ |

Table 53: Incidence and distribution of Market Supplement by Grade and Gender

| Grade | Female | Male | Grand Total | Female | Male | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { of Total } \\ \text { Market } \\ \text { Supplements } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PROFBAND1 | 1 |  | 1 | 100\% | 0\% | 1\% |
| PROFBAND2 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 40\% | 60\% | 15\% |
| PROFBAND3 |  | 4 | 4 | 0\% | 100\% | 6\% |
| PROFBAND4 | 1 | 10 | 11 | 9\% | 91\% | 16\% |
| PROFBAND5 |  | 2 | 2 | 0\% | 100\% | 3\% |
| RHUL 4 |  | 1 | 1 | 0\% | 100\% | 1\% |
| RHUL 5 | 1 | 11 | 12 | 8\% | 92\% | 1\% |
| RHUL 6 |  | 1 | 1 | 0\% | 100\% | 18\% |
| RHUL 7 | 1 |  | 1 | 100\% | 0\% | 1\% |
| RHUL 8 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 44\% | 56\% | 1\% |
| RHUL 9 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 33\% | 67\% | 13\% |
| RHUL 10 |  | 1 | 1 | 0\% | 100\% | 22\% |
| Grand Total | 17 | 51 | 68 | 25\% | 75\% | 100\% |

Table 54: Mean Value of Market Supplements by gender and Staff Group

|  | Female | Male | \% Difference |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACAD - Lecturer | $£ 7,294$ | $£ 6,000$ | $-21.6 \%$ |
| ACAD - Professor | $£ 16,218$ | $£ 18,969$ | $14.5 \%$ |
| ACAD - Reader |  | $£ 9,000$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| ACAD - Senior Lecturer | $£ 10,231$ | $£ 9,890$ | $-3.5 \%$ |
| AD1-5 | $£ 4,145$ | $£ 2,056$ | $-101.6 \%$ |
| AD6-10 | $£ 6,500$ | $£ 7,898$ | $17.7 \%$ |

Table 55: Incidence, distribution and difference in mean value of Market Supplement by Department

| Department | Female | Male | Total | $\%$ of Total | Gender Difference |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Campus Services |  | 1 | 1 | $1 \%$ |  |
| Communications and External Relations | 3 | 1 | 4 | $6 \%$ | $-39 \%$ |
| Department of Economics | 3 | 19 | 22 | $32 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| Department of Finance | 2 | 4 | 6 | $9 \%$ | $-14 \%$ |
| Department of Psychology | 5 | 3 | 8 | $12 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Estates |  | 12 | 12 | $18 \%$ |  |
| IT Services |  | 1 | 1 | $1 \%$ |  |
| School of Management | 4 | 9 | 1 | 13 | $19 \%$ |
| (blank) | 17 | 51 | 68 | $1 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Total |  |  | $100 \%$ |  |  |

4.10 In relation to gender, male employees are disproportionately likely to be in receipt of a market supplement payment. However, where these are paid to both male and female employees there is no pattern to the payments that favours one group or the other.
4.11 One BAME and one person with a disability were in receipt of a market supplement payment. In both cases this means that in relation to the overall representation of these groups within the workforce, they are disproportionately under-represented in respect of market supplement payments.
4.12 The vast majority of market supplement payments are received by people on full time contracts (61 of 68) and only by those permanently employed by the institution.
4.13 Whilst the analysis identifies no cause for concern with the current payment of Market Supplements, we would recommend continuing monitoring of this payment to ensure the policy is applied robustly.

## 5 Staff profile

5.1 The findings of the Royal Holloway, University of London Equality Monitoring Report published in 2014, in relation to the make up of the workforce have a bearing on the findings of this Equal Pay Audit. In particular, the small number of minority ethnic and disabled staff within specific RHUL grades limits the ability of carrying out a robust analysis to identify potential pay gaps and identify their possible causes.
5.2 This becomes still more difficult when considering the more recently established characteristics such as sexual orientation and religion or belief. As noted in the Equality Monitoring Report 2014, the percentage of staff who had provided data relating to their sexual orientation was $24 \%$, of whom $96 \%$ identified as heterosexual. In relation to religion or belief, $25 \%$ of staff provided data, within which seven named religions or beliefs were represented, as well as a small number who were of an 'other' religion or belief. The majority $(87 \%, 355)$ of those who provided data were almost equally split between Christian or of no religion or belief.
5.3 The largest Staff Group is the Academic Group, comprising $36 \%$ of the total workforce. $51 \%$ of employees are in grades RHUL 7-9.

## Gender

5.4 There is a broadly equal gender distribution across all employees at RHUL. However, once broken down into Staff Group we can see that this masks different gender splits within Staff Groups. These range from $74 \%$ RHUL 1-5 staff being women, through to $63 \%$ Academic staff being men, as shown in Table 56.

Table 56: Staff Group by Gender

|  |  |  |  | Count |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

5.5 Likewise, if we look in more detail at each group, we see differing patterns. As can be seen in Table 57, there is a slight imbalance between men and women at Lecturer level, which becomes progressively more pronounced at each level above until $74 \%$ of Professors are men.

Table 57: Academic Level by Gender

|  |  |  |  |  | Count |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percentage of Level |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Academic Level | Female | Male | Total | \% Female |
| ACAD - Professor | 49 | 142 | 191 | $25.7 \%$ | $74.3 \%$ |
| ACAD - Reader | 22 | 40 | 62 | $35.5 \%$ | $64.5 \%$ |
| ACAD - Senior Lecturer | 63 | 97 | 160 | $39.4 \%$ | $60.6 \%$ |
| ACAD - Lecturer | 60 | 69 | 129 | $46.5 \%$ | $53.5 \%$ |
| ACAD - Other | 27 | 25 | 52 | $51.9 \%$ | $48.1 \%$ |

5.6 Taking this a stage further to look within the Professorial Grades, we see in Table 58 a growing preponderance of male Professors through to the most senior Professorial level, which is exclusively male.

Table 58: Professorial Grades by Gender

|  |  |  |  | Count |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percentage of Grade |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Female | Male | Total | \% Female | \% Male |
| PROFBAND1 | 8 | 13 | 21 | $38.1 \%$ | $61.9 \%$ |
| PROFBAND2 | 23 | 42 | 65 | $35.4 \%$ | $64.6 \%$ |
| PROFBAND3 | 6 | 20 | 26 | $23.1 \%$ | $76.9 \%$ |
| PROFBAND4 | 12 | 52 | 64 | $18.8 \%$ | $81.3 \%$ |
| PROFBAND5 |  | 15 | 15 | $0.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

5.7 Analysing all staff by RHUL grade (Table 59), the most significant imbalances can be found at Grades 1 and 4 where more than $80 \%$ are female whilst above Grade 8 the majority in each and every grade and level is male. The proportion of female employees within Grade 4 can be explained by the concentration of administrative roles at this level and the likelihood from national workforce data that women are most likely to be working in administrative and secretarial occupations.
5.8 Similarly, at RHUL $572 \%$ of the roles are administrative and $87 \%$ of these are filled by women, whilst $20 \%$ of the roles were manual and ancillary-type roles, $80 \%$ of which were filled by men.

Table 59: RHUL Grades by Gender

|  | Count |  |  | Percentage of Grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | Male | Total | \% Female | \% Male |
| RHUL 10 | 11 | 14 | 25 | 44.0\% | 56.0\% |
| RHUL 9 | 93 | 154 | 247 | 37.7\% | 62.3\% |
| RHUL 8 | 161 | 161 | 322 | 50.0\% | 50.0\% |
| RHUL 7 | 137 | 132 | 269 | 50.9\% | 49.1\% |
| RHUL 6 | 124 | 66 | 190 | 65.3\% | 34.7\% |
| RHUL 5 | 121 | 52 | 173 | 69.9\% | 30.1\% |
| RHUL 4 | 117 | 28 | 145 | 80.7\% | 19.3\% |
| RHUL 3 | 35 | 16 | 51 | 68.6\% | 31.4\% |
| RHUL 2 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 20.0\% | 80.0\% |
| RHUL 1 | 10 | 2 | 12 | 83.3\% | 16.7\% |
| AR-RES-NS | 2 | 6 | 8 | 25.0\% | 75.0\% |

5.9 Within RHUL 10 there are three sub-grades (Table 60), each of which contains a small number of roles.

The most pronounced difference between the genders lies in the residual RHUL 10 group, where the vast majority is male. The numbers of employees within each of these grades individually is too small to allow meaningful analysis.

Table 60: Grade 10 by Gender

|  | Count |  |  | Percentage of Grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | Male | Total | \% Female | \% Male |
| GRADE10C | 3 | 3 | 6 | 50.0\% | 50.0\% |
| GRADE10B | 2 | 2 | 4 | 50.0\% | 50.0\% |
| GRADE10A | 4 | 3 | 7 | 57.1\% | 42.9\% |
| RHUL 10 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 25\% | 75\% |

## Ethnic Group

5.10 For the vast majority of employees ( $97 \%$ ) a record is held of their ethnic origin. Overall, BAME employees comprise $14.1 \%$ of the workforce. Overall, there has been some increase in BAME representation since 2012 from $13.2 \%$ to $14.1 \%$ of the workforce.
5.11 The highest proportion of BAME employees is found within the Lecturer grade (24\%) and the lowest in the Professorial group (5.8\%); When compared to the Academic group overall, RHUL 1-5 have a slightly higher proportion of BAME at $15.1 \%$, and Grades 6-10, slightly less at 12.2\% (Tables 61 and 62 refer).

Table 61: Staff Group by Ethnicity

|  |  |  |  | Count |  | Percentage of Staff Group |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Minority Ethnic | White | Not Disclosed | Minority Ethnic | White | Not Disclosed |  |
| ACAD | 86 | 485 | 23 | $14.5 \%$ | $81.6 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ |  |
| Grades 1-5 (excluding <br> Academic and Research) | 58 | 322 | 5 | $15.1 \%$ | $83.6 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ |  |
| Grades 6-10 (excluding <br> Academic and Research) | 61 | 429 | 10 | $12.2 \%$ | $85.8 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ |  |
| RES | 26 | 123 | 11 | $16.3 \%$ | $76.9 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ |  |
| Total | 231 | 1358 | 49 | $14.1 \%$ | $82.9 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |  |

Table 62: Academic Level by Ethnicity

|  |  |  |  |  | Count |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Percentage of Staff Group |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Minority Ethnic | White | Not Disclosed | Minority Ethnic | White | Not Disclosed |
| ACAD - Professor | 11 | 171 | 9 | $5.8 \%$ | $89.5 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ |
| ACAD - Reader | 8 | 52 | 2 | $12.9 \%$ | $83.9 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ |
| ACAD - Senior Lecturer | 23 | 132 | 5 | $14.4 \%$ | $82.5 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ |
| ACAD - Lecturer | 31 | 95 | 3 | $24.0 \%$ | $73.6 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| ACAD - Other | 13 | 35 | 4 | $25.0 \%$ | $67.3 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ |

5.12 There is no BAME representation in either Professor Bands 1 or 5 . If the proportion of BAME representation were the same for Professor Band 1 as for the Professor bands overall, it might be anticipated there would be one BAME employee at this level, or two if the representation was in line with the workforce as a whole.
5.13 The grade breakdown (Table 63) shows a step reduction in the proportion of BAME staff at Grade 9 and above.

Table 63: Grade by ethnicity

|  | Count |  |  |  | Percentage of Grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Minority Ethnic | White | Not Disclosed | Minority Ethnic | White | Not Disclosed |
| PROFBAND1 |  | 19 | 2 | 0.0\% | 90.5\% | 9.5\% |
| PROFBAND2 | 5 | 59 | 1 | 7.7\% | 90.8\% | 1.5\% |
| PROFBAND3 | 1 | 24 | 1 | 3.8\% | 92.3\% | 3.8\% |
| PROFBAND4 | 5 | 55 | 4 | 7.8\% | 85.9\% | 6.3\% |
| PROFBAND5 |  | 14 | 1 | 0.0\% | 93.3\% | 6.7\% |
| RHUL 10 | 2 | 23 |  | 7.7\% | 92.3\% | 0.0\% |
| RHUL 9 | 29 | 212 | 6 | 11.7\% | 85.8\% | 2.4\% |
| RHUL 8 | 60 | 252 | 10 | 18.6\% | 78.3\% | 3.1\% |
| RHUL 7 | 44 | 214 | 11 | 16.4\% | 79.6\% | 4.1\% |
| RHUL 6 | 25 | 158 | 7 | 13.2\% | 83.2\% | 3.7\% |
| RHUL 5 | 23 | 148 | 2 | 13.3\% | 85.5\% | 1.2\% |
| RHUL 4 | 22 | 122 | 1 | 15.2\% | 84.1\% | 0.7\% |
| RHUL 3 | 7 | 42 | 2 | 13.7\% | 82.4\% | 3.9\% |
| RHUL 2 | 1 | 4 |  | 20.0\% | 80.0\% | 0.0\% |
| RHUL 1 | 5 | 7 |  | 41.7\% | 58.3\% | 0.0\% |
| AR-RES-NS | 2 | 5 | 1 | 25.0\% | 62.5\% | 12.5\% |
| Total | 231 | 1358 | 49 | 14.1\% | 82.9\% | 3.0\% |

## Disability

5.14 The number of staff for whom a disability has been recorded is $1.7 \%$ of the workforce (Table 64 refers). This figure is unusually low. In addition $3.3 \%$ of the workforce has not disclosed a status. Analysis has, therefore, been limited. The profiles by Staff group, Academic Level and Grade are in Tables 64-66.

Table 64: Staff Group by Disability Status


Table 65: Academic level by Disability Status

|  | Count |  |  | Percentage of Staff Group |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Disabled | Not Disabled | Not Disclosed | Disabled | Not Disabled | Not Disclosed |
| ACAD - Professor | 2 | 187 | 2 | $1.0 \%$ | $97.9 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ |
| ACAD - Reader | 2 | 60 |  | $3.2 \%$ | $96.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| ACAD - Senior Lecturer | 2 | 157 | 1 | $1.3 \%$ | $98.1 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| ACAD - Lecturer | 3 | 123 | 3 | $2.3 \%$ | $95.3 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| ACAD - Other | 1 | 47 | 4 | $1.9 \%$ | $90.4 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ |

Table 66: Grade by Disability Status

|  | Count |  |  | Percentage of Grade |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Disabled | Not Disabled | Not Disclosed | Disabled | Not Disabled | Not Disclosed |
| PROFBAND1 |  | 20 | 1 | 0.0\% | 95.2\% | 4.8\% |
| PROFBAND2 | 1 | 64 |  | 1.5\% | 98.5\% | 0.0\% |
| PROFBAND3 |  | 25 | 1 | 0.0\% | 96.2\% | 3.8\% |
| PROFBAND4 | 1 | 63 |  | 1.6\% | 98.4\% | 0.0\% |
| PROFBAND5 |  | 15 |  | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% |
| RHUL 10 | 1 | 24 |  | 4.0\% | 96.0\% | 0.0\% |
| RHUL 9 | 4 | 241 | 2 | 1.6\% | 97.6\% | 0.8\% |
| RHUL 8 | 6 | 307 | 9 | 1.9\% | 95.3\% | 2.8\% |
| RHUL 7 | 2 | 258 | 9 | 0.7\% | 95.9\% | 3.3\% |
| RHUL 6 | 4 | 170 | 16 | 2.1\% | 89.5\% | 8.4\% |
| RHUL 5 | 3 | 163 | 7 | 1.7\% | 94.2\% | 4.0\% |
| RHUL 4 | 3 | 134 | 8 | 2.1\% | 92.4\% | 5.5\% |
| RHUL 3 | 3 | 47 | 1 | 5.9\% | 92.2\% | 2.0\% |
| RHUL 2 |  | 5 |  | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% |
| RHUL 1 |  | 12 |  | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% |
| AR-RES-NS |  | 8 |  | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Total | 28 | 1548 | 54 | 1.7\% | 95.0\% | 3.3\% |

## Employment Status

5.15 The majority of the workforce is employed on permanent contracts, with $21 \%$ employed on fixed term contracts (Tables 67-69 refer). The main concentration of fixed term contracts is at RHUL where $84 \%$ of staff have this employment status. Of these, $75 \%$ are from the research Staff Group and $18 \%$ academic, primarily Teaching Associates.

Table 67: Employment Status - Fixed Term, Grade and Gender

| Fixed Term Contracts | Count |  |  | Percentage |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Number | Female | Male | Total | Female | Male |
| PROFBAND1 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| PROFBAND2 |  | 1 | 1 | 0\% | 100\% |
| PROFBAND3 |  | 1 | 1 | 0\% | 100\% |
| PROFBAND4 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| PROFBAND5 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| RHUL 10 |  | 1 | 1 | 0\% | 100\% |
| RHUL 9 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 13\% | 88\% |
| RHUL 8 | 28 | 24 | 52 | 54\% | 46\% |
| RHUL 7 | 54 | 69 | 123 | 44\% | 56\% |
| RHUL 6 | 48 | 29 | 77 | 62\% | 38\% |
| RHUL 5 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 63\% | 38\% |
| RHUL 4 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 71\% | 29\% |
| RHUL 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 67\% | 33\% |
| RHUL 2 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| RHUL 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| AR-RES-NS | 2 | 5 | 7 | 29\% | 71\% |
| Total | 145 | 143 | 288 | 50\% | 50\% |

Table 68: Employment Status - Permanent, Grade and Gender

| Permanent Contracts | Count |  |  | Percentage |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Number | Female | Male | Total | Female | Male |
| PROFBAND1 | 8 | 13 | 21 | 38\% | 62\% |
| PROFBAND2 | 23 | 41 | 64 | 36\% | 64\% |
| PROFBAND3 | 6 | 19 | 25 | 24\% | 76\% |
| PROFBAND4 | 12 | 52 | 64 | 19\% | 81\% |
| PROFBAND5 |  | 15 | 15 | 0\% | 100\% |
| RHUL 10 | 11 | 13 | 24 | 46\% | 54\% |
| RHUL 9 | 92 | 147 | 239 | 38\% | 62\% |
| RHUL 8 | 133 | 137 | 270 | 49\% | 51\% |
| RHUL 7 | 83 | 63 | 146 | 57\% | 43\% |
| RHUL 6 | 76 | 37 | 113 | 67\% | 33\% |
| RHUL 5 | 116 | 49 | 165 | 70\% | 30\% |
| RHUL 4 | 111 | 26 | 137 | 81\% | 19\% |
| RHUL 3 | 33 | 15 | 48 | 69\% | 31\% |
| RHUL 2 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 20\% | 80\% |
| RHUL 1 | 10 | 2 | 12 | 83\% | 17\% |
| AR-RES-NS |  | 1 | 1 | 0\% | 100\% |
| Total | 715 | 634 | 1349 | 53\% | 47\% |

Table 69: Employment Status - Grade and Gender

| Percentage Fixed Term of Permanent Employees |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Number | Female | Male | Total |
| PROFBAND1 | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| PROFBAND2 | $0.0 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ |
| PROFBAND3 | $0.0 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| PROFBAND4 | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| PROFBAND5 |  | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| RHUL 10 | $0.0 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ |
| RHUL 9 | $1.1 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| RHUL 8 | $21.1 \%$ | $17.5 \%$ | $19.3 \%$ |
| RHUL 7 | $65.1 \%$ | $109.5 \%$ | $84.2 \%$ |
| RHUL 6 | $63.2 \%$ | $78.4 \%$ | $68.1 \%$ |
| RHUL 5 | $4.3 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ |
| RHUL 4 | $4.5 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ |
| RHUL 3 | $6.1 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ |
| RHUL 2 | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| RHUL 1 | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| AR-RES-NS |  | $500.0 \%$ | $700.0 \%$ |
| Total | $20.3 \%$ | $22.6 \%$ | $21.3 \%$ |

5.16 More than twice as many people working on Fixed Term contracts work part-time as do those working on Permanent contracts (Tables 70 and 71 refer). The majority of part-time workers were employed on fixed term contracts in grades RHUL 3-5 and RHUL 8-9 and there was a particularly high concentration in technical grades (80\%). The greatest concentration within the academic grades was found in the category "Other" (see Tables 72 and 73) and in RHUL 7 and 8 (Tables 74 and 75). These include Teaching Fellows and Associates who are typically on Fixed Term contracts and work part-time hours.

Table 70: Employment Status - Fixed Term Contracts by Staff Group and Position Status

| Fixed Term Contracts |  |  | Count | Percentage of Fixed Term Contracts |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Staff Group | Part-Time | Full-Time | Total | Part-Time | Full-Time |
| ACAD | 44 | 21 | 65 | 67.7\% | 32.3\% |
| Grades 1-5 (excluding Academic and Research) | 11 | 6 | 17 | 64.7\% | 35.3\% |
| Grades 6-10 (excluding Academic and Research) | 29 | 51 | 80 | 36.3\% | 63.8\% |
| RES | 20 | 106 | 126 | 15.9\% | 84.1\% |
| Total | 104 | 184 | 288 | 36.1\% | 63.9\% |

Table 71: Employment Status - Permanent Contract by Staff Group and Position Status

| Permanent Contracts |  |  | Count | Percentage of Permanent |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Staff Group | Part-Time | Full-Time | Total | Part-Time | Full-Time |
| ACAD | 55 | 474 | 529 | 10.4\% | 89.6\% |
| Grades 1-5 (excluding Academic and Research) | 111 | 256 | 367 | 30.2\% | 69.8\% |
| Grades 6-10 (excluding Academic and Research) | 60 | 360 | 420 | 14.3\% | 85.7\% |
| RES | 1 | 32 | 33 | 3.0\% | 97.0\% |
| Total | 227 | 1122 | 1349 | 16.8\% | 83.2\% |

Table 72: Employment Status - Fixed Term Contracts by Academic Level and Position Status

| Fixed Term Contracts |  |  | Count | Percentage of Fixed Term Contracts |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Staff Group | Part-Time | Full-Time | Total | Part-Time | Full-Time |
| ACAD - Professor | 1 | 1 | 2 | 50.0\% | 50.0\% |
| ACAD - Reader | 1 | 2 | 3 | 33.3\% | 66.7\% |
| ACAD - Senior Lecturer |  | 1 | 1 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% |
| ACAD - Lecturer | 9 | 10 | 19 | 47.4\% | 52.6\% |
| ACAD - Other | 33 | 7 | 40 | 82.5\% | 17.5\% |

Table 73: Employment Status - Permanent Contract by Academic Level and Position Status

| Permanent Contracts |  |  | Count | Percentage of Permanent |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Staff Group | Part-Time | Full-Time | Total | Part-Time | Full-Time |
| ACAD - Professor | 21 | 168 | 189 | 11.1\% | 88.9\% |
| ACAD - Reader | 1 | 58 | 59 | 1.7\% | 98.3\% |
| ACAD - Senior Lecturer | 12 | 147 | 159 | 7.5\% | 92.5\% |
| ACAD - Lecturer | 16 | 94 | 110 | 14.5\% | 85.5\% |
| ACAD - Other | 5 | 7 | 12 | 41.7\% | 58.3\% |

Table 74: Employment Status - Fixed Term Contract by Grade and Position Status

| Fixed Term Contracts |  |  | Count | Percentage of Fixed Term |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Number | Part-Time | Full-Time | Total | Part-Time | Full-Time |
| PROFBAND1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| PROFBAND2 | 1 |  | 1 | 100.0\% | 0.0\% |
| PROFBAND3 |  | 1 | 1 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% |
| PROFBAND4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| PROFBAND5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| RHUL 10 | 1 |  | 1 | 100.0\% | 0.0\% |
| RHUL 9 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 87.5\% | 12.5\% |
| RHUL 8 | 28 | 24 | 52 | 53.8\% | 46.2\% |
| RHUL 7 | 31 | 92 | 123 | 25.2\% | 74.8\% |
| RHUL 6 | 25 | 52 | 77 | 32.5\% | 67.5\% |
| RHUL 5 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 62.5\% | 37.5\% |
| RHUL 4 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 57.1\% | 42.9\% |
| RHUL 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 66.7\% | 33.3\% |
| RHUL 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| RHUL 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| AR-RES-NS |  | 7 | 7 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% |

Table 75: Employment Status - Permanent Contract by Grade and Position Status

| Permanent Contracts |  |  | Count | Percentage of Permanent |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Number | Part-Time | Full-Time | Total | Part-Time | Full-Time |
| PROFBAND1 | 2 | 19 | 21 | 9.5\% | 90.5\% |
| PROFBAND2 | 2 | 62 | 64 | 3.1\% | 96.9\% |
| PROFBAND3 | 1 | 24 | 25 | 4.0\% | 96.0\% |
| PROFBAND4 | 12 | 52 | 64 | 18.8\% | 81.3\% |
| PROFBAND5 | 4 | 11 | 15 | 26.7\% | 73.3\% |
| RHUL 10 |  | 24 | 24 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% |
| RHUL 9 | 14 | 225 | 239 | 5.9\% | 94.1\% |
| RHUL 8 | 47 | 223 | 270 | 17.4\% | 82.6\% |
| RHUL 7 | 16 | 130 | 146 | 11.0\% | 89.0\% |
| RHUL 6 | 18 | 95 | 113 | 15.9\% | 84.1\% |
| RHUL 5 | 29 | 136 | 165 | 17.6\% | 82.4\% |
| RHUL 4 | 50 | 87 | 137 | 36.5\% | 63.5\% |
| RHUL 3 | 25 | 23 | 48 | 52.1\% | 47.9\% |
| RHUL 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 40.0\% | 60.0\% |
| RHUL 1 | 5 | 7 | 12 | 41.7\% | 58.3\% |
| AR-RES-NS |  | 1 | 1 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% |

## Position Status

5.17 The only Staff Group in which there was a higher proportion of male than female employees is Research. However, within the Academic Group, 73\% part-time Professors at Royal Holloway are male (Tables 76 78 refer).

Table 76: Position Status Part-Time and Gender by Staff Group

| Part Time |  |  | Count |  | Percentage of Part-Time |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Staff Group | Female | Male | Total | Female | Male |  |
| ACAD | 54 | 45 | 99 | $54.5 \%$ | $45.5 \%$ |  |
| Grades 1-5 (excluding Academic and Research) | 113 | 10 | 123 | $91.9 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ |  |
| Grades 6-10 (excluding Academic and Research) | 68 | 21 | 89 | $76.4 \%$ | $23.6 \%$ |  |
| RES | 8 | 13 | 21 | $38.1 \%$ | $61.9 \%$ |  |
| Grand Total | 243 | 89 | 332 | $73.2 \%$ | $26.8 \%$ |  |

Table 77: Position Status Part-Time and Gender by Academic Level

| Part Time |  |  | Count |  | Percentage of Part-Time |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| ACAD | Female | Male | Total | Female | Male |  |
| ACAD - Professor | 6 | 16 | 22 | $27.3 \%$ | $72.7 \%$ |  |
| ACAD - Reader | 1 | 1 | 2 | $50.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ |  |
| ACAD - Senior Lecturer | 9 | 3 | 12 | $75.0 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ |  |
| ACAD - Lecturer | 18 | 7 | 25 | $72.0 \%$ | $28.0 \%$ |  |
| ACAD - Other | 20 | 18 | 38 | $52.6 \%$ | $47.4 \%$ |  |

Table 78: Position Status Part-Time and Gender by Grade

| Part Time |  |  | Count | Percentage of Part-Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Number | Female | Male | Total | Female | Male |
| PROFBAND1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 50.0\% | 50.0\% |
| PROFBAND2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 66.7\% | 33.3\% |
| PROFBAND3 | 1 |  | 1 | 100.0\% | 0.0\% |
| PROFBAND4 | 2 | 10 | 12 | 16.7\% | 83.3\% |
| PROFBAND5 |  | 4 | 4 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% |
| RHUL 10 |  | 1 | 1 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% |
| RHUL 9 | 12 | 9 | 21 | 57.1\% | 42.9\% |
| RHUL 8 | 52 | 23 | 75 | 69.3\% | 30.7\% |
| RHUL 7 | 30 | 17 | 47 | 63.8\% | 36.2\% |
| RHUL 6 | 30 | 13 | 43 | 69.8\% | 30.2\% |
| RHUL 5 | 29 | 5 | 34 | 85.3\% | 14.7\% |
| RHUL 4 | 54 | 1 | 55 | 98.2\% | 1.8\% |
| RHUL 3 | 24 | 3 | 27 | 88.9\% | 11.1\% |
| RHUL 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 50.0\% | 50.0\% |
| RHUL 1 | 5 |  | 5 | 100.0\% | 0.0\% |

Age

### 5.18 The mean age of employees by Staff Group and Grade is set out in Tables $79-81$ for reference.

Table 79: Grade and Mean Age - Gender

| Grade | Mean Age |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | Male |
| PROFBAND1 | 47 | 49 |
| PROFBAND2 | 53 | 50 |
| PROFBAND3 | 54 | 53 |
| PROFBAND4 | 56 | 57 |
| PROFBAND5 |  | 60 |
| RHUL 1 | 45 | 39 |
| RHUL 2 | 54 | 42 |
| RHUL 3 | 44 | 41 |
| RHUL 4 | 43 | 38 |
| RHUL 5 | 42 | 44 |
| RHUL 6 | 40 | 36 |
| RHUL 7 | 37 | 39 |
| RHUL 8 | 42 | 43 |
| RHUL 9 | 45 | 46 |
| RHUL 10 | 48 | 58 |

Table 80: Grade and Mean Age - Ethnicity

|  |  |  | Mean Age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Number | Minority Ethnic | White | Not Known |
| PROFBAND1 |  | 48 | 56 |
| PROFBAND2 | 47 | 52 | 49 |
| PROFBAND3 | 53 | 54 | 49 |
| PROFBAND4 | 55 | 57 | 57 |
| PROFBAND5 |  | 61 | 51 |
| RHUL 1 | 44 | 44 |  |
| RHUL 2 | 46 | 44 |  |
| RHUL 3 | 40 | 43 | 52 |
| RHUL 4 | 36 | 43 | 45 |
| RHUL 5 | 37 | 44 | 40 |
| RHUL 6 | 32 | 40 | 39 |
| RHUL 7 | 37 | 39 | 32 |
| RHUL 8 | 41 | 42 | 38 |
| RHUL 9 | 47 | 46 | 44 |
| RHUL 10 |  | 60 |  |

Table 81: Grade and Mean Age - Disability

|  |  |  | Mean Age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Number | Disabled | Not Disabled | Not Known |
| PROFBAND1 |  | 48 | 50 |
| PROFBAND2 | 60 | 51 |  |
| PROFBAND3 |  | 54 | 49 |
| PROFBAND4 | 54 | 57 |  |
| PROFBAND5 |  | 60 |  |
| RHUL 1 |  | 44 |  |
| RHUL 2 |  | 44 |  |
| RHUL 3 | 45 | 43 | 29 |
| RHUL 4 | 54 | 42 | 32 |
| RHUL 5 | 34 | 44 | 32 |
| RHUL 6 | 32 | 39 | 39 |
| RHUL 7 | 57 | 38 | 30 |
| RHUL 8 | 42 | 42 | 37 |
| RHUL 9 | 46 | 46 | 35 |
| RHUL 10 |  | 60 |  |

Length of time in grade
5.19 The length of time in grade by Staff Group and grade is set out in Tables $82-84$ for reference.

Table 82: Length of Time in Grade by Gender

| Mean Length of Time in Grade (Years) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Female | Male |
| PROFBAND1 | 3.1 | 2.3 |
| PROFBAND2 | 2.5 | 2.8 |
| PROFBAND3 | 2.3 | 2.0 |
| PROFBAND4 | 2.2 | 2.1 |
| PROFBAND5 | - | 2.3 |
| Grade 10 | 1.9 | 2.9 |
| RHUL 9 | 3.8 | 4.3 |
| RHUL 8 | 3.4 | 3.7 |
| RHUL 7 | 2.8 | 4.1 |
| RHUL 6 | 3.0 | 2.7 |
| RHUL 5 | 2.7 | 2.8 |
| RHUL 4 | 3.7 | 2.6 |
| RHUL 3 | 3.9 | 3.9 |
| RHUL 2 | 1.1 | 1.3 |
| RHUL 1 | 1.1 | 1.3 |

Table 83: Length of Time in Grade by Ethnicity

| Mean Length of Time in Grade (Years) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | BAME | White | Not Disclosed |
| PROFBAND1 |  | 2.6 | 2.2 |
| PROFBAND2 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 4.3 |
| PROFBAND3 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 2.3 |
| PROFBAND4 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 2.3 |
| PROFBAND5 |  | 2.3 | 2.1 |
| Grade 10 | 0.8 | 2.6 | - |
| RHUL 9 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 3.6 |
| RHUL 8 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 2.8 |
| RHUL 7 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 0.5 |
| RHUL 6 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 2.6 |
| RHUL 5 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 1.0 |
| RHUL 4 | 2.6 | 3.7 | 7.3 |
| RHUL 3 | 4.6 | 3.8 | - |
| RHUL 2 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| RHUL 1 | 1.2 | 0.9 |  |

Table 84: Length of Time in Grade by Disability Status

| Mean Length of Time in Grade |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Disabled | Not Disabled | Not Disclosed |
| PROFBAND1 |  | 2.6 |  |
| PROFBAND2 | 4.3 | 2.7 |  |
| PROFBAND3 |  | 2.1 | 2.3 |
| PROFBAND4 | 2.3 | 2.1 |  |
| PROFBAND5 |  | 2.3 |  |
| Grade 10 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 0.9 |
| RHUL 9 | 5.7 | 4.1 | 7.3 |
| RHUL 8 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 1.2 |
| RHUL 7 | 4.6 | 3.3 | 0.6 |
| RHUL 6 | 2.3 | 2.9 | - |
| RHUL 5 | 1.0 | 2.7 | 0.3 |
| RHUL 4 | 6.7 | 3.6 | 0.4 |
| RHUL 3 | 6.8 | 3.9 | - |
| RHUL 2 | 1.2 | 1.1 | - |
| RHUL 1 | 0.2 | 1.1 |  |

