## The reading and vocabulary project (RAV):

How do reading ability and reading practice influence vocabulary knowledge?
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## Reading and Vocabulary

- Vocabulary is crucial for accessing the school curriculum (OUP report, 2018; oxford.ly/wordgap)
- Can teach some words directly, but not all
- $\rightarrow$ Pupils need to learn words independently
- Reading provides opportunity to acquire vocabulary
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## Aims of the RAV project

- 1. Measure the influences of SES and secondary school transition on growth in reading and vocabulary
- 2. Test the relationship between reading ability, reading practice and vocabulary knowledge
- 3. Experimentally test the influence of independent reading on vocabulary acquisition
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## Longitudinal measures

Transition to


Word reading accuracy and efficiency

## Reading Comprehension
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Reading practice: Student Q
Reading practice: Parent Q
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Reading activity: SMS Diary

## Reading Fluency
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## When we zoom in...



## Parents educational background - Growth in word reading



## SES matters more for vocabulary

- Vocabulary differs for highest vs lowest parent education level; this group difference is stable over time
- Reading doesn't significantly differ by parental education level
- Consistent with idea that SES is more closely related to vocabulary than word reading
- Significant growth in both vocabulary and reading from age 10 to 12 years (receptive vocabulary and reading) - some are surprised by this
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## Reading and Vocabulary

- During their development, children who choose to read books in their leisure time have
- larger vocabularies,
- better reading comprehension, and
- better technical reading and spelling skills than peers who do not read as frequently
(Mol \& Bus, 2011)
- Is this because more able readers read more, with independent reading exposing them to a more diverse range of words than less able readers?
- And/or are more able readers better at using text to learn new words?
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## Results \& implications

- Word reading ability is associated with vocabulary
- Even in secondary school, improvements in word reading skills have potential knock-on effects on vocabulary
- Interventions to support basic reading skills (e.g., decoding efficiency) should continue into early secondary school
- Reading practice also predicts vocabulary
- More reading may impact vocabulary as well as reading


## Next steps

- Intervene to increase independent reading
- Naturalistic Experiment: give children books \& encourage them to read (van der Kleij et al., 2019)
- Does SMS-diary feedback increase reading activity?
- Does amount of reading activity predict word learning (track number of exposures to new words- does learning increase with number of exposures?)
- Come to our workshop after lunch!
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## Measures

|  | Year | n | Mean | SD | min | max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phonemic decoding | Y5 | 597 | 40.4 | 10.98 | 7 | 64 |
|  | Y6 | 299 | 46.38 | 10.5 | 11 | 64 |
|  | Y7 | 251 | 47.67 | 10.62 | 14 | 66 |
| Sight words | Y5 | 598 | 68.63 | 10.97 | 21 | 95 |
|  | Y6 | 299 | 73.58 | 11.25 | 30 | 106 |
|  | Y7 | 252 | 78.49 | 11.66 | 37 | 107 |
| BPVS | Y5 | 481 | 122.09 | 16.01 | 62 | 152 |
|  | Y6 | 298 | 132.19 | 14.88 | 63 | 162 |
|  | Y7 | 249 | 134.58 | 14.31 | 83 | 162 |
| YARC passage 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Y6 | 299 | 8.64 | 2.29 | 2 | 13 |
|  | Y7 | 229 | 8.58 | 2.39 | 1 | 13 |
| YARC passage 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Y6 | 296 | 7.59 | 2.81 | 0 | 13 |
|  | Y7 | 244 | 7.49 | 2.79 | 0 | 13 |
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## Growth in word reading efficiency



Phonemic decoding +8 nonwords


Sight word efficiency +10 words

significant growth between each time point, $\eta_{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{2}=.36$ and .42 (decoding, sight words)

## Growth in vocabulary (BPVS)


vocabulary + 13 items

significant growth between each time point, $\eta_{p}{ }^{2}=.51$

## Vocabulary and reading comprehension in 1 model? $\begin{array}{r}15 \text { socab } \\ \hline 15 \text { seince } \\ \hline\end{array}$



| Y 5 sight words |
| :---: |
| Y 6 decoding |
| Y 6 sight words |
| Y 7 sight words |
| Ability |

## EXTRA SLIDES

- IGNORE FROM HERE ONWARDS
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## Longitudinal study

Word reading ability

significant growth between each time point, $\eta_{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{2}=42$ and .36 (sight words, decoding)

## Longitudinal study

## Vocabulary

140


1 year $\sim 4$ months

115
significant growth between each time point, $\eta_{p}{ }^{2}=.51$

## Longitudinal study

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics of Year group and Test

|  | N | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | SD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year 5 Phonemic <br> Decoding | 597 | 7 | 64 | 40.49 | 10.98 |
| Year 6 Phonemic <br> Decoding <br> Year 7 Phonemic <br> Decoding | 299 | 11 | 644 | 46.38 | 10.50 |
| Year 5 Sight Word <br> Efficiency <br> Year 6 Sight Word | 250 | 14 | 66 | 47.72 | 10.60 |
| Efficiency <br> Year 7 Sight Word <br> Efficiency | 298 | 21 | 95 | 70.01 | 10.97 |
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## Phonemic decoding growth

Table 2. Pairwise Comparisons of Time of Testing

| (I) Time | (J) Time | Mean Diff <br> (i-J) | Std <br> Error | Sig | 95\% confidence <br> interval for <br> Difference |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  | Lower <br> Bound | Upper <br> Bound |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0.49 | $\mathrm{D}<.001$ |
|  |  | -5.78 | -3.40 |  |  |  |
| Year 5 | Year 6 | -4.59 | 0.51 | $\mathrm{D}<.001$ | -7.61 | -5.14 |
|  | Year 7 | -6.38 | 0.43 | $\mathrm{P}<.001$ | -2.82 | -0.75 |
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## RAV correlations at Year 5

|  | NonwordA | Exception/ | Phonemic[ | [ SightWord | GeneralVo | PhysicsVor | BiologyVor | for fun (of | stories (of | f silently (of | f as present | boring | more time | enjoy | imagine |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NonwordAccuracy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ExceptionAccuracy | 0.72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PhonemicDecodingEfficiency | 0.74 | 0.66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SightWordEfficiency | 0.46 | 0.55 | 0.72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GeneralVocabulary | 0.34 | 0.51 | 0.33 | 0.29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PhysicsVocabulary | 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BiologyVocabulary | 0.24 | 0.39 | 0.24 | 0.23 | 0.68 | 0.53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| for fun (often) | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.21 | 0.16 | 0.23 | 0.15 | 0.19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| stories (often) | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.12 | 0.18 | 0.47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| silently (often) | 0.12 | 0.19 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.24 | 0.28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| as present | 0.24 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.19 | 0.28 | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.43 | 0.37 | 0.32 |  |  |  |  |  |
| boring | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.14 | 0.20 | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.48 | 0.38 | 0.24 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |
| more time for reading | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.21 | 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.51 | 0.37 | 0.29 | 0.54 | 0.53 |  |  |  |
| enjoy | 0.25 | 0.28 | 0.26 | 0.18 | 0.27 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.55 | 0.42 | 0.34 | 0.65 | 0.63 | 0.71 |  |  |
| imagine | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.26 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.34 | 0.30 | 0.21 | 0.44 | 0.36 | 0.40 | 0.39 |  |
| only if I have to | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.10 | 0.28 | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.39 | 0.32 | 0.20 | 0.37 | 0.48 | 0.39 | 0.47 | 0.25 |
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## Year 5



Average $=105$


Average $=99$
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## Outcomes workshops

> Based on the averages 'Teacher's Dead' was the clear favourite among the group, with 'Wonder' and 'Terror' Kid in the top 3. 'For the Record' was the least favourite book, along with 'The Unforgotten Coat' and 'The Vampire Hunters'.

Based on the averages 'Teacher's Dead' was the clear favourite, with 'Time Machine' and 'Frozen in Time' in the top 3. 'For the Record' was their least favourite, along with 'Terror Kid' and 'The Unforgotten Coat'.

For the record and Unforgotten coat least favourite; Teachers dead, Time machine, Frozen in time favourites

Favourite themes: murder/mystery, thriller adventure/action or comedy
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